

REMOVAL OF LIGHTNING ACTIVITY LEVEL & HAINES INDEX

2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING



Mark Pellerito - NWS Binghamton (Central NY) Warning Coordination Meteorologist

Former Incident Meteorologist (2007-2022) Former Fire Weather Program Leader NWS Charleston WV and NWS Binghamton NY









REMOVAL OF LIGHTNING ACTIVITY LEVEL & HAINES INDEX

2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

Much of this presentation based on content presented to Incident Meteorologists in 2024 by National Wildfire Coordinating Group Fire Weather Chair Darren Clabo, PhD & Vice Chair Jim Wallman (Former NWS IMET)



Removing the Haines Index and LAL from **Fire Weather** Forecasts

Darren Clabo, PhD South Dakota State Fire Meteorologist Chair, NWCG Fire Weather Subcommittee

Jim Wallmann NICC Predictive Services Meteorologist Vice Chair, NWCG Fire Weather Subcommittee

> IMRR - 3/6/2024 IMET CEE - 3/15/2024

ATIONAL INTERAGENCY







National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration U.S. Department of Commerce



March 3, 2025



National Wildfire Coordinating Group Timeline

- **Beyond 10 years ago, even since inception:** Haines Index (HI) recognized as inadequate.
- **Past 5-10 years:** Monthly to annual discussions on the issues with both HI and Lightning Activity Level (LAL) within the NWCG Fire Weather Subcommittee
- Past 5 or so years:
 - Focused effort by Fire Weather Subcommittee on addressing the issues with HI/LAL
 - Documentation of why these products need to be removed Ο
 - Thorough review of the state-of-the-science
 - Integrated work within USFS, NWS, and University Subject Matter Experts







BACKGROUND: "BYE" to HI, and "LOL" to LAL

2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

NWCG National Wildfire Coordinating Group

National Wildfire Coordinating Group Timeline

Last Year: NWCG Memorandum issued

Purpose: The Fire Weather Subcommittee (FWSC) recommends discontinuing the use of Haines Index and Lightning Activity Level (LAL) in fire weather forecasts and in NWCG training and curricula. (HI) and Lightning Activity Level (LAL) in fire weather forecasts and in NWCG training and curricula. weldge NWCG's support. Should this recommendation be adopted by the NWS, FWSC drafted a This is a formal notification to the National Weather Service (NWS) of this recommendation and to acknowledge NWCG's support. Should this recommendation be adopted by the NWS, FWSC drafted a transition plan to help guide the process. Please distribute this information through your agency channels.

Issue/Action: The Fire Environment Committee (FENC) and the Executive Board support and recommend removal of HI and LAL, understanding the potential significant impacts to both NWS and wildland fire operations and training. A draft transition plan was developed to aid in this change should NWS move forward with removing HI and LAL (NWCG Memorandum 24-002a). This transition plan could be completed within 12 months once implemented.



February 23	, 2024
TO:	Heath Hockenberr
FROM:	Aitor Bidaburu, C
SUBJECT:	Discontinuing Us
	Weather Service a

ose: The Fire Weather Subcommittee (FWSC) recommends discontinuing the use of Haines Index s a formal notification to the National Weather Service (NWS) of this recommendation and to tion plan to help guide the process. Please distribute this information through your agency els.

/Action: The Fire Environment Committee (FENC) and the Executive Board support and imend removal of HI and LAL, understanding the potential significant impacts to both NWS and and fire operations and training. A draft transition plan was developed to aid in this change should move forward with removing HI and LAL (NWCG Memorandum 24-002a). This transition plan be completed within 12 months once implemented.

ground and Coordination: FWSC spent years working on these recommendations and consulted rous subject matter experts while soliciting feedback from wildland fire personnel. HI is ported by research in predicting large fire growth and it is not a metric of atmospheric stability ionally, LAL is a duplicate of the thunderstorm coverage product that is already in the fire weather asts. Extensive interagency coordination and discussion have transpired, and peer-reviewed ch has also been utilized during this decision process. If adopted, fire weather forecasts would in information on atmospheric stability in the discussion section, and the potential for lightning 1 the sky weather section or in forecast grids for both CONUS and OCONUS interests. More ed background information on the recommendations can be found in the attachments

act: For questions, please contact Darren Clabo, Chair, FWSC at darren.clabo@sdsmt.edu; Nick ar, Chair, FENC at nick.nauslar@noaa.gov; or Dave Schultz, NWCG Coordinator, at ltz@blm.gov.



NATIONAL WILDFIRE COORDINATING GROUP

NWCG Memorandum 24-002

ry, National Weather Service Executive Council Chair, NWCG Executive Board JOSE A BIDABURU BIDABURU BIDABURU e of the Haines Index and Lightning Activity Level in National and NWCG Products



National Wildfire Coordinating Group Timeline

• This Year: Haines Index and Lightning Activity Level are no longer in NWS Fire Weather products.



National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration U.S. Department of Commerce

March 3, 2025



2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

Most of these Haines Index slides based on content presented to Incident Meteorologists in 2024 by National Wildfire Coordinating Group Fire Weather Chair Darren Clabo, PhD and Vice Chair Jim Wallmann (former IMET)



Removing the Haines Index and LAL from **Fire Weather** Forecasts

Darren Clabo, PhD South Dakota State Fire Meteorologist Chair, NWCG Fire Weather Subcommittee

Jim Wallmann NICC Predictive Services Meteorologist Vice Chair, NWCG Fire Weather Subcommittee

> IMRR - 3/6/2024 IMET CEE - 3/15/2024







National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration U.S. Department of Commerce

March 3, 2025







2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

HAINES INDEX (originally known as the Lower Atmospheric Severity Index) was developed by USFS Meteorologist Don Haines in 1988.

- Combines knowledge of the temperature lapse rate and atmospheric dryness to produce a number related to the potential for large fire growth.
- 1, 2, or 3 for lapse rate + 1, 2, or 3 for atmospheric dryness.
 Add the two terms together for a Haines "score" of 2 to 6.
- The problem: We have known for over 20 years that Haines Index does not adequately represent conditions conducive to large fire growth - and whether or not it could be plume-dominated.



March 3, 2025

From S-290 Haines Index Numbers

The Potential for Large Plume Dominated Fire Growth

2 or 3 ... Very low potential

- 4 ... Low potential
- 5... Moderate potential
- 6 ... High potential



2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

HAINES INDEX ISSUES

- Don Haines himself said it was incomplete/shouldn't be used operationally!
- **Does not include wind.** Haines identified this as a huge drawback that would need to be addressed (perhaps added as a third term). It never was. Wind is a MAJOR factor for whether large fire growth occurs.
- Not actually a measure of instability at best, it oversimplifies instability, using two specific pressure levels instead of whole picture/atmospheric column. Which levels used, itself is arbitrary and problematic. Remember, it was called the Lower Atmosphere Severity Index, not Stability Index!
- Oddly enough, even the threshold used to reach "3 out of 3" for the lapse rate in the Haines Index is still inherently stable! The ranges used were arbitrary. Not scientific.





2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

HAINES INDEX ISSUES

- It was based upon biased data (i.e. focused on closest radiosonde/weather balloon soundings from late day/00Z, corresponded it to fire activity that day, AND the data that was collected by Don Haines was via USFS personnel who happened to respond for their most active fire days; regionally biased and not-at-all random). Not predictive.
- Also, Haines himself never related it to "plume dominated" fires. Despite that, Haines Index has been a forecast element for many years now, and implied as a tool for assessing whether fires may become plume-dominated.



March 3, 2025





2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

Example: Bear Fire (North Complex 2020), California

SLAT 39.56 SLON -119.80

SELV 1516. SHOW 15.89

LIFT 17.33 LETV 17.17

SWET 51.98 KINX -33.9

CTOT -5.30

VTOT 23.70 TOTL 18.40

CAPE 0.00 CAPV 0.00

CINS 0.00

CINV 0.00 EQLV -9999

EQTV -9999 LFCT -9999

LFCV -9999 BRCH 0.00 BRCV 0.00

LCLT 258.0

LCLP 552.1 LCLE 312.7

MLTH 305.7

MLMR 2.16 THCK 5770.

PWAT 4.35



North Con	nplex in	cident	Genera	I Weat		
For Planni	ng Purpose	es Only, se	e the IAP fo	or the Offic		
Made Mon, S	Sep 7th, 20	20 - IMET		For Eleva		
	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu		
	7-Sep	8-Sep	9-Sep	10-Sep		
Clouds @ 1600 (%)	0	0	0	0		
5500FT Max Temp (F)	92	80	85	87		
Min Humidity (%)	13	11	10	13		
RH Recovery (%)	35	30	25	45		
Peak Wind Direction	W	NE	E	SW		
Ridge Wind (mph)	13	20G35	10	10		
Mixing Height (kft)	14	8	/ 11	12		
LAL	1	1	1	1		
Haines Index	4	4	4	4		
Air Quality (Quincy)	Unhealthy	Good	Good	USG		
KEV.	Moderate Burning		se Caution:			
NLT.	Conditions		Near Critical			
Clouds @ 1600L	> 31 %		15 to 30 %			
4500ft Max Temp	< 75 F		75 to 80 F			
PH Recovery	> 25 %		20 to 25 %			
Peak Wind Direction	>50%		NW N			
Sustained Ridge Wind	< 13 mph		13-17 mph			
Mixing Height	< 10 kft AGL		10kft to 15kft AGL			
LAL	<2 2					

5 or more EXTREME blocks in a day equals the potential for a **Critical Weather Day**



March 3, 2025





2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

Example: Bear Fire (North Complex 2020), California

Made Mon, Sep 7th, 2020 - IMET				
ina 1	Mon	Tue		
	7-Sep	/ 8-Sep		
Clouds @ 1600 (%)	0	1 0		
5500FT Max Temp (F)	92	80		
Min Humidity (%)	13	11		
RH Recovery (%)	35	30		
Peak Wind Direction	W	NE		
Ridge Wind (mph)	13	20G35		
Mixing Height (kft)	14	8		
LAL	1			
Haines Index	4	4		

Claremont-Bear Fire made a massive run west to Oroville, CA increasing in size by more than 100,000 acres in 24 hours. Claremont and Bear Fires burned together to become managed as part of the North Complex (252,000 acres)

Axis-BaldMtnButte1 X:+147.83 Y:-4.00 Z:1.0 CALFIREBTU.BTUECC.09-05T16:27 @ Nevada Seismo Lab 2020/09/



March 3, 2025



2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

WHAT TO USE INSTEAD?

- Back to the basics of Fire Triangle and Fire Weather! (Wind + RH + Temp) + Fuels + Slope WIND especially! Both surface and transport winds.
- If you want a single index for situational awareness and some predictive value, Hot-Dry-Windy Index (HDWI) combines a lot of these weather parameters (but not fuels/topography). It does a good job of very simply expressing evaporation and drying of fuels. It's not a NWS product, but uses weather modeling and climatology amongst other things. https://hdwindex.fs2c.usda.gov/



NPS.GOV



March 3, 2025





2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

WHAT TO USE INSTEAD?

- For Plume-dominated: That's NOT driven by temperatures/dryness ALOFT (i.e. Haines)! To get an UNSTABLE COLUMN/PLUME, you need a lot of heat from below. The basics like fuel, like Energy Release Component (ERC) - determine that; ventilation as well.
- Mixing Height can be used to infer less stable conditions
 - Higher mixing heights associated with plume-dominated fires
 - Mixing height as stability metric currently under study
 - Also: Higher mixing heights usually increase transport winds







March 3, 2025



BACKGROUND ON LIGHTNING ACTIVITY LEVEL REMOVAL

2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

LIGHTNING ACTIVITY LEVEL (LAL)



- A number, related to the precipitation & number of lightning strikes from thunderstorms
 - Originally was an **observation** for an input into National Fire Danger Rating System (NFDRS), but it is not used now
 - Was not designed to be a "forecast" but like Haines, it became a forecast element
 - Little skill exists in lightning forecasting (as in, number of strikes within X miles) ullet





March 3, 2025



BACKGROUND ON LIGHTNING ACTIVITY LEVEL REMOVAL

2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

LIGHTNING ACTIVITY LEVEL (LAL)

LALs (L)ightning (A)ctivity (L)evels numbered 1 through 6

LAL 1 - No thunderstorms.

LAL 2 - Isolated thunderstorms. Light rain will occasionally reach the ground. Lightning is very infrequent, 1 to 5 cloud to ground strikes in a 5 minute period.

LAL 3 - Widely scattered thunderstorms. Light to moderate rain will reach the ground. Lightning is infrequent, 6 to 10 cloud to ground strikes in a 5 minute period.

LAL 4 - Scattered thunderstorms. Moderate rain is commonly produced. Lightning is frequent, 11 to 15 cloud to ground strink strikes minute period.

LAL 5 - Numerous thunderstorms. Rainfall is moderate to heavy. Lightning is frequent and intense, greater than 15 cloud to ground strikes in a 5 minute period.

LAL 6 - Dry lightning (same as LAL 3 but without the rain). This type of lightning has the potential for extreme fire activity and is normally highlighted in fire weather forecasts with Red Flag Warning.





6 to 10 cloud-to-ground strikes? Within how many miles, or just visual? Wait, can we actually predict this?





BACKGROUND ON LIGHTNING ACTIVITY LEVEL REMOVAL

2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

WHAT TO USE INSTEAD

- Ultimately, Lightning Activity Level (LAL) is duplicate information
- Simply infer lightning risk via thunderstorm probability already produced by the NWS. That is, the chance and coverage of thunderstorms:
 - Example of Chance: Thunderstorms likely (70%)
 - **Example of Coverage: Scattered thunderstorms** (There will be hit-or-miss thunderstorms)





March 3, 2025





REMOVAL OF LIGHTNING ACTIVITY LEVEL & HAINES INDEX

2025 NY FIRE WEATHER PARTNERS MEETING

Thanks!



Mark.Pellerito@noaa.gov



National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration U.S. Department of Commerce



March 3, 2025

