186 FGUS73 KFGF 281847 ESFFGF

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathtt{MNC005-007-027-029-051-057-069-077-087-089-107-111-113-119-125-135-159-167-NDC003-005-017-019-027-035-039-063-067-071-073-077-081-091-095-097-099-281200- \end{array}$ 

PROBABILISTIC HYDROLOGIC OUTLOOK
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE EASTERN NORTH DAKOTA/GRAND FORKS ND
1246 PM CST Thu Jan 28 2021

- ... SPRING FLOOD AND WATER RESOURCES OUTLOOK...
- ... RED RIVER BASIN OUTLOOK FOR RIVER FLOOD POTENTIAL...

This outlook covers the Red River of the North and its Minnesota and North Dakota tributaries.

- ...LOW TO MODERATE RISK OF MINOR FLOODING THIS SPRING ALONG THE MAINSTEM RED RIVER AND ITS TRIBUTARIES...
  - \* This 90-day outlook covers the period from 02/01/21 to 05/02/21.
- .OUTLOOK SUMMARY...
- \* Probabilities for exceeding Major, Moderate, Minor Flood Stage...

Major Flooding...

There is a low risk (well below 35 percent) of major flooding throughout the basin with this outlook issuance.

Moderate Flooding...

There is a low risk (below 35 percent) of moderate flooding throughout the basin with this outlook issuance.

Minor Flooding...

There is a high risk (greater than 65 percent) of minor flooding at Fargo on the Red River, at Sabin on the South Branch Buffalo River, and at Dilworth on the Buffalo River. There is a medium risk (35 to 65 percent) of minor flooding at Wahpeton and Oslo on the Red River and Abercrombie on the Wild Rice River. There is a low risk of minor flooding across the remainder of the basin.

\*Note: With the recent completion of the Grafton Bypass, river flows will be divided between the main channel and the diversion. This will significantly reduce the impact on the City of Grafton and surrounding areas protected by the diversion, and the in town river gage at Grafton is not likely to reach the stages depicted here. However, locations outside the protection of the diversion still have the depicted risk probability associated with historic levels on the Grafton gage.

.OUTLOOK DISCUSSION...

Hydrologic and climate conditions which affect each of the several factors that significantly determine the timing and magnitude of spring snowmelt flooding within the Red River of the North are discussed below:

#### \* SNOWPACK CONDITIONS...

The current snowpack and associated water content is well below normal across the basin. Current snow depths are generally below 5 inches across the majority of the basin with slightly higher depths (5-7 inches) across the far southern Valley and into west central Minnesota. Current snow water equivalent values are generally below one inch except for slightly higher amounts in west central Minnesota.

#### \* SOIL MOISTURE...

At the time of freeze-up, soil moisture was near to below normal due to a dry fall and winter (thus far).

# \* FROST DEPTHS...

Current frost depth values are near normal (generally 12 to 24 inches) due to a shallow snow depth allowing for deep frost penetration.

#### \* RIVER FLOWS...

Base streamflows are around normal for most locations although just slightly below normal across the far northern basin.

# \* RIVER ICE...

River ice and lake ice thicknesses are currently less than normal due to the above normal temperatures experienced for the first half of the winter season.

#### \* FACTORS YET TO BE DETERMINED...

- Further snowpack growth,
- Rate of snowmelt/thaw,
- Heavy rain on snow or frozen ground during thaw or peak flood,
- Heavy rain on ice-covered rivers causing short-term ice jams.

#### \* SHORT TERM WEATHER FORECAST...

The next few weeks are expected to bring a return to more normal temperatures, possibly trending towards slightly cooler than normal by mid-February. A slightly more active pattern may begin emerging with a few possibilities of precipitation. However, predictability remains low at identifying any chances for significant precipitation.

# \* LONG TERM CLIMATE OUTLOOK...

Climate outlooks for February, March, and April indicate the potential for near to slightly cooler than normal temperatures to start the period and then trend towards above normal as we head into

spring. There are chances for a generally active weather pattern to set up for late winter and into the spring.

#### .NEXT SPRING FLOOD OUTLOOK...

The next 2021 spring flood outlook will be issued on Thursday, February 11, 2021.

#### .FLOOD OUTLOOK PROBABILITIES TABLES...

The following message has two sections: the first gives the current and normal/historical chances of river locations reaching their minor, moderate, and major flood category. The second gives the current chances of river locations rising above river stages listed.

... Red River Long-Range Probabilistic Outlook by Flood Category...

Valid from February 01, 2021 to May 02, 2021

In Table 1 below, the current (CS) and historical (HS), or normal, probabilities of exceeding minor, moderate, and major flood stages are listed for the valid time period.

CS values indicate the probability of reaching a flood category based on current conditions.

HS values indicate the probability of reaching a flood category based on historical, or normal, conditions.

When the value of CS is greater than HS, the probability of exceeding that level is higher than normal. When the value of CS is less than HS, the probability of exceeding that level is lower than normal.

# ...Table 1--Probabilities for Minor, Moderate, and Major Flooding Valid Period: 02/01/2021 - 05/02/2021

|                    |                   |      |       | : | Current and Historical                              |    |            |    |    |    |
|--------------------|-------------------|------|-------|---|---|----|------------|----|----|----|
|                    |                   |      |       | : | Chances of Exceeding                                |    |            |    |    |    |
|                    |                   |      |       | : | <pre>: Flood Categories : as a Percentage (%)</pre> |    |            |    |    |    |
|                    |                   |      |       | : |   |    |            |    |    |    |
|                    | Categorical       |      |       |   |   |    |            |    |    |    |
|                    | Flood Stages (FT) |      |       | : | Minor Mode  |    | rate Major |    |    |    |
| Location           | Minor             | Mod  | Major | : | CS  | HS | CS         | HS | CS | HS |
|                    |                   |      |       | : |   |    |            |    |    |    |
| Red River of the N | orth              |      |       |   |   |    |            |    |    |    |
| WAHPETON           | 11.0              | 13.0 | 15.0  | : | 53  | 56 | 21         | 27 | <5 | 15 |
| HICKSON            | 30.0              | 34.0 | 38.0  | : | 18  | 25 | <5         | 13 | <5 | <5 |
| FARGO              | 18.0              | 25.0 | 30.0  | : | 88  | 80 | 28         | 37 | 15 | 24 |
| HALSTAD            | 26.0              | 32.0 | 37.5  | : | 19  | 35 | 8          | 17 | <5 | 10 |
| GRAND FORKS        | 28.0              | 40.0 | 46.0  | : | 28  | 56 | 7          | 30 | <5 | 10 |
| OSLO               | 26.0              | 30.0 | 36.0  | : | 37  | 62 | 25         | 53 | <5 | 18 |
| DRAYTON            | 32.0              | 38.0 | 42.0  | : | 28  | 61 | 10         | 39 | <5 | 16 |

: Current and Historical : Chances of Exceeding : Flood Categories : as a Percentage (%) Categorical Flood Stages (FT) : Minor Moderate Major Location Minor Mod Major: CS HS CS HS CS HS Minnesota Tributaries..... Note: The Roseau numbers consider the flow through its diversion 13.0 15.0 19.0 : 78 49 13 15 7 22 <5 <5 HAWLEY 8.0 9.0 11.0 : 24 35 DILWORTH 13.0 20.0 26.0: 87 65 17 19 <5 <5 10.0 12.0 14.0 : <5 15 10.0 12.0 14.0 : <5 15 <5 <5 <5 20.0 28.0 32.0 : 34 48 7 18 <5 TWIN VALLEY <5 <5 HENDRUM 7 14.0 20.0 23.0 : 11 26 <5 11 <5 6 SHELLY 20.0 25.0 30.0: 5 23 <5 10 <5 8 CLIMAX 12.0 12.5 13.0 : <5 7 <5 <5 <5 HIGH LANDING 15.0 20.0 23.0 : 10 46 <5 24 <5 8 CROOKSTON 67.0 71.0 75.0 : <5 7 <5 <5 106.0 108.0 110.0 : <5 22 <5 14 7 <5 <5 <5 <5 ABOVE WARREN ALVARADO <5 <5 HALLOCK 802.0 806.0 810.0 : 20 62 <5 39 <5 10 16.0 18.0 19.0 : <5 21 <5 12 <5 8 ROSEAU : Current and Historical : Chances of Exceeding : Flood Categories : as a Percentage (%) Categorical Flood Stages (FT) : Minor Moderate Major Minor Mod Major: CS HS CS HS CS HS Location ----- : --- : --- --- --- ---North Dakota Tributaries..... ABERCROMBIE 10.0 12.0 18.0 : 38 40 24 34 9 19 15.0 16.0 17.0 : <5 10 <5 6 <5 <5 15.0 17.0 19.0 : <5 10 <5 9 <5 5 VALLEY CITY LISBON KINDRED 16.0 19.0 20.5 : 9 19 <5 11 <5 8 WEST FARGO DVRSN 18.0 20.0 21.0 : 5 11 <5 9 <5 8 12.0 13.5 14.5 : <5 16 <5 7 <5 <5 GRAFTON 11.0 16.0 18.0 : <5 21 <5 <5 <5 WALHALLA 18.0 19.0 20.5 : <5 23 <5 22 <5 19 NECHE LEGEND: CS = Conditional Simulation (Outlook for current conditions) HS = Historical Simulation ( " " normal conditions) FT = Feet (above gage zero datum)

# ...Red River Long-Range Probabilistic Outlook by River Stage... Valid from February 01, 2021 to May 02, 2021

| LOCATION                    |             |      | 75%                  |      |              |              | 05%          |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------|----------------------|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Red River of the Nor        |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
|                             |             |      | 10.1                 | 11.1 | 12.1         | 14.1         | 14.3         |
| WAHPETON<br>HICKSON         | 16.4        | 19.2 | 20.8                 | 23.9 | 27.0         | 32.0         | 33.6         |
| $r_{\lambda} p_{C}$         | 17.1        | 17.4 | 20.8<br>19.2<br>16.1 | 21.9 | 25.7         | 31.9         | 34.6         |
| HALSTAD                     | 11.6        | 12.2 | 16.1                 | 18.9 | 24.5         | 31.3         | 36.0         |
| GRAND FORKS                 | 18.5        | 18.8 | 20.1                 | 23.1 | 30.3         | 36.8         | 41.0         |
| OSLO                        | 13.3        | 14.0 | 17.2                 | 22.1 | 29.7         | 33.9         | 35.0         |
| DRAYTON                     | 15.4        | 15.9 | 19.0                 | 25.1 | 33.5         | 37.9         | 40.6         |
| PEMBINA                     | 18.6        | 20.0 | 23.0                 | 29.1 | 35.7         | 42.3         | 45.9         |
| Minnesota Tribs:            |             |      |                      |      |              |              | 05%          |
| South Fork Buffalo R        |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
| SABIN                       |             |      | 13 1                 | 13 7 | 14 4         | 15 8         | 17 8         |
| Buffalo River               | 11.5        | 12.0 | 10.1                 | 10.7 |              | 10.0         | ± 7 • 0      |
| HAWLEY                      | 4.6         | 4.8  | 5.6                  | 6.4  | 7.9          | 8.6          | 9.6          |
| DILWORTH                    |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
| Wild Rice River             |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
| TWIN VALLEY                 |             | 4.0  | 4.4                  | 5.6  | 6.4          | 7.3          | 8.9          |
|                             |             |      | 13.3                 |      |              |              |              |
| Marsh River                 |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
| SHELLY                      | 4.8         | 5.1  | 5.8                  | 7.4  | 10.2         | 15.0         | 19.8         |
| Sand Hill River             |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
| CLIMAX                      | 5.7         | 5.8  | 6.7                  | 7.8  | 11.2         | 15.8         | 20.4         |
| Red Lake River              |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
| HIGH LANDING                | 4.5         | 4.7  | 5.2                  | 6.4  | 7.9          | 9.0          | 9.7          |
| CROOKSTON                   | 6.8         | 7.0  | 7.8                  | 9.1  | 11.4         | 14.9         | 17.2         |
| Snake River                 |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
| ABOVE WARREN<br>ALVARADO    | 61.1        | 61.2 | 61.6                 | 62.1 | 62.6         | 63.0         | 63.7         |
| ALVARADO                    | 96.7        | 96.9 | 97.4                 | 98.4 | 100.0        | 101.6        | 102.2        |
| Two Rivers River            |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
|                             |             |      | 797.4                |      |              |              |              |
| Roseau River co             |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
| ROSEAU                      | 6.3         | 6.5  | 6.9                  | 7.5  | 8.7          | 10.6         | 11.5         |
| North Dakota Tribs:         | 95%         | 90%  | 75%                  | 50%  | 25%          | 10%          | 05%          |
|                             |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |
| Wild Rice River             | 2 2         | 2 0  |                      | 0 0  | 11 ^         | 1 ( 7        | 20.0         |
| ABERCROMBIE                 | 3.3         | 3.9  | 5.5                  | 8.3  | 11.2         | 16.7         | 20.2         |
| Sheyenne River              | / 7         | Λ ¬  | 1 7                  | E 0  | 6 0          | 7 5          | 0 2          |
| VALLEY CITY                 | 4.7         |      |                      |      |              | 7.5          | 9.3          |
| LISBON<br>KINDRED           | 3.4         | 3.4  |                      |      |              |              | 13.6         |
|                             | 4.0         | 4.0  | 4.7<br>8.7           |      | 9.0          |              | 18.6         |
| WEST FARGO DVRSN<br>HARWOOD | 8.6<br>71.2 |      |                      |      | 10.9<br>79.0 | 15.0<br>84.9 | 18.8<br>90.8 |
| Maple River                 | / ⊥ • ∠     | 11.2 | 12.0                 | 10.0 | 19.0         | 04.9         | 90.8         |
| TAPTO TAVOL                 |             |      |                      |      |              |              |              |

| ENDERLIN      | 1.6 | 1.6 | 3.9  | 6.2  | 7.5  | 9.8  | 11.2 |
|---------------|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| MAPLETON      | 8.2 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 14.7 | 18.1 | 21.1 | 22.2 |
| Goose River   |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| HILLSBORO     | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8  | 2.7  | 3.5  | 4.3  | 4.6  |
| Forest River  |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| MINTO         | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.7  | 2.1  | 2.9  | 4.1  | 6.2  |
| Park River    |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| GRAFTON       | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.6  | 7.9  | 8.5  | 9.2  | 9.6  |
| Pembina River |     |     |      |      |      |      |      |
| WALHALLA      | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.3  | 3.0  | 4.2  | 5.7  | 6.3  |
| NECHE         | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.5  | 4.8  | 7.3  | 9.9  | 11.1 |

#### .THE OUTLOOK PRODUCTION PROCESS...

This long range probabilistic outlook is based on a series of peak river levels or crests taken from the forecast hydrograph results of the NWS Community Hydrologic Prediction System (CHPS). The model is run for multiple scenarios starting at current river levels and soil conditions using 69 years (1949-2018) of past precipitation and temperature conditions that were experienced for those past years during the time-frame of the outlook period. These crests can then be ranked from lowest to highest and assigned an exceedance probability. For example, for a series of 50 years, the lowest ranked crest has 49 crests above it and since 95 percent of the crests are above it, it is assigned a 95 percent probability of exceedance (POE).

A YouTube video on "How to Interpret River Outlook Products" is at:

www.youtube.com/watch?v=pSoEgvsnpv4

The probabilities can be used for risk management by using them as an indication of the range of crests that may be expected during the valid period of the outlook. By providing a range of peak river level probabilities, the NWS is contributing to the area's Decision Support Services that help with long-range flood planning and response readiness. This outlook is a part of NOAA's National Weather Service's AHPS (Advanced Hydrologic Prediction Services).

## .ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SOURCES...

The AHPS Long-Range Probabilistic Hydrologic Outlooks are issued each month typically between the first and second Friday after mid-month. However, Spring Flood and Water Resources Outlooks are issued several times leading up to the spring melt period, usually on Thursdays beginning in late February or early March and ending in early April, depending on the spring flooding conditions.

This outlook is also presented as graphs of the probability of stage exceedance for the full period and for weekly intervals during the period. These graphs, along with explanations for interpreting them, are available from the NWS Grand Forks AHPS web page:

www.weather.gov/grandforks or weather.gov/fgf

then click on the "Rivers and Lakes" tab above the map.

Current river conditions for all river forecast points in the Red River of the North and Devils/Stump Lake basins are also available on our website, as well as 7-day forecasts when river levels at forecast points are in or near flood.

Additional Probabilistic Hydrologic Outlooks will be issued monthly throughout the rest of the year during the later part of the month or as conditions warrant.

Refer to the separate Devils Lake Probabilistic Hydrologic Outlook for Devils and Stump Lakes Probability of Exceedance levels and low-water non-exceedance levels.

If you have any questions, contact the NWS at 701-772-0720.

You can follow us on Facebook at: www.facebook.com/NWSGrandForks and on Twitter at: @NWSGrandForks.

\$\$

www.weather.gov/fgf

NNNN