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Another idea focused around a numerical relationship between wind and RH

Wind/RH = 20/15 = 1.3

Other ratios give same result

30/23 = 1.3

Interesting - more applicable to a sliding RF scale
Thought about how indices are used/developed in severe weather i.e., Supercell Parameters, etc

Composite indices that represent likelihood of certain traits or characteristics of storms, these are good, but too complicated
Started looking at other more easily understood indices

**K-INDEX** 

\[ K = (T_{850} - T_{500}) + Td_{850} - (T_{700} - Td_{700}) \]

**SHOWALTER INDEX** 

\[ SI = T_{500} - Tp_{500} \]

**TOTAL TOTALS INDEX** 

\[ TT = T_{850} + Td_{850} - 2T_{500} \]

Started to see a pattern with these indices
Reminds of how another index is calculated

Stability  Moisture
Haines Index  = (T_{p1}-T_{p2}) + (T_{p1}-T_{td1})
= A + B

Red Flag Threat Index

Term A - RH  Term B - 20ft Wind Speed
10-15%   =1 20-22 mph=1
5-9%     =2 23-27 mph=2
<= 4%     =3 >=28   mph=3

RFTI = A + B

Unit-less number ranging from 2 to 6
RFTI Steeped heavily in Climatology

Ranges for terms A and B based on a set RAWS data from MAFs local RF climo (18k RF obs) from which percentile rankings where developed

Dec 1985 - Apr 2008 Fire WX Percent of Fire WX Obs Per Month All Stations
Percentile Rankings - Good fit

• Fire Management community uses percentile rankings – A means to **Quantify data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term A - RH</th>
<th>Term B - 20ft WS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-15% =1</td>
<td>20-22mph =1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9% =2</td>
<td>23-27mph =2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;= 4% =3</td>
<td>&gt;=28mph =3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Final Product in MAFs GFE

- **Term A - RH**
- **RFTI**
- **Term B - WS**

1 Indicates that only one element of a Red Flag has been met.

- Documented problematic fires that occur outside of RF conditions for west Texas Plains (Lindley, et al)

0 Indicates neither RH or WS terms are within Red Flag
Other considerations

• All Red Flag days are not equal
  • Dependent on magnitude of specific weather elements
  • Antecedent fuel conditions
  • Response to RFW may be different depending on Planning Level or on local decision makers
  • During drought periods may not take “as much weather” to produce control problems (if fuels predisposed)

• RFTI quantifies severity of Red Flag conditions and increases SA

• RFTI not a predictor of fire starts, utilized in analysis, forecast, Fire Potential(?)
More considerations

• Created in the grids for Fire Weather Zones/CWFA/PSA, falls out of grids

• Similarly to RH/Wind.Temp grids RFTI can be calculated hourly on GFE

• A max for the day

• Takes a little heavy lifting up front to get the climo data set up
Red Flag Threat Index

- Quickly see where worst conditions are
- By quantifying RF conditions forecasters can include enhanced wording in products or briefings
Severe Fire Weather Analysis - Apr 9 2009 Outbreak
Severe Fire Weather Analysis

Went back and calculated RFTI

Plotted RFTI/10hr FSM from RAWS

3 distinct areas (solid red) where RFTI 5 or > and 2-3% 10hr FSM “Marry-Up”
When combined with other data

Conventional data...
Dry slot
Mid level speed max
Thermal Ridge

Including:
Temperature Departure

Overlay not a surprise to see a favored area
Null case for W TX
Fires over a large portion of Texas, as were positive temperature departures RFTI 3 or greater over a large part of the state, RFTI max along temperature departure. This case really started to bring to light that RFTI is a composite index - RH, wind, T. Combination of RFTI and temperature departure caught the largest fires, Glass/Silver.
Fire occurrence map shows that fires occurred over a large part of TX. Temperature Departure map shows large positive temperature departure over state. RFTI and 10hr FSM also depicts a large area of concern. RFTI must still be used with other data, i.e., CFWP thermal ridge.
Summary

We are learning more about fire weather forecasting for the plains

Developing conceptual models of how to better forecast fire weather

Intensity - Duration - Aerial Coverage Principles

Fire Weather Forecasting similar approach to forecasting storms

Recognize large scale patterns

Downscale and utilize Severe Fire Weather Analysis
On Regional Outbreaks days multiple ingredients of Critical Fire Weather Patterns come together

- Thermal Ridge
- Mid level Wind Speed Maxima (Jet)
- Dry slot
- Positive T Departure
- Chinook/Downslope Winds
- Dryline

Tools like the RFTI can help quantify/assess the conditions
- Just how bad will the weather get
- Still each case is a little different, thermal ridge orientation, fuel
- Indices are empirical and need other data, temperature and fuel
- ***RFTI thought of as Composite Index***
  - RH and Wind
  - Catches thermal ridge because temperature is built into RH
Part 2 – Decision Support

Historically NWS Meteorologist issue products for specific groups, aviation and fire weather, do TX WFOs really know how the products are used?

Room to gain better understanding of user objectives, i.e., TFS Fire Management

- Assessing Fire Potential - Occurrence and Response Capability

Fire Weather Watches are of utmost importance to plan effectively

How large is TFS response area?
  Gives coordination a new perspective, where are worst expected?
  Be aware of concerns outside of WFO boundaries

How much time does TFS have to prepare?
  Lead time is critical, time to move resources to most strategic location
  Equipment maintenance issues, how long to get it fixed
Moving forward to aid in Fire Operations Decision Support

Recent Advances

WFOs can generate GFDI, RFTI, and Temperature Departure maps

Generated at the click of a button or automatically
Overlay Era

Improving our overlay capabilities we can get better idea of where different weather features align with each other and with fuels.

Availability of climate data - directly improves fire weather forecast.

By use of the GFDI Midland can get fuel data into GFE, through a curing factor.
The Future

• Midland believes this to be the beginning of a paradigm shift and a partial glimpse of future Fire Weather Forecasting

• By incorporating: Climatology through percentile ranks in RFTI Fuels through use of the GFDI Climatology in temperature departure analysis

• Get a more complete picture of fire weather and fuels, provides greater awareness and good decisions
**Ultimate Goal**

Tactical/Strategic Decision Support Tools for Fire Operations

**Tactical Decision Support Tool – Matrix example**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Red Flag</th>
<th>RFTI</th>
<th>GFDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Predictive Service Areas**

- **Current**: 1400
- **1300**
Statewide – PSA Based Strategic Decision Support Tool

Trans Pecos Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Red Flag</th>
<th>Today</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFTI</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trans Pecos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Today</td>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>Day 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Flag</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFTI</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDI</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Plains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Today</td>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>Day 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Flag</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFTI</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFDI</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assimilating this data into Decision Support we begin our move to the next level of Science and Service.