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The Techniques Development Laboratory (IDL) has developed a system which produces objective forecasts of cloud amount for 231 stations in the conterminous U.S. The forecasts are in terms of the probability of occurrence of each of four categories, which correspond roughly to clear, scattered, broken, and overcast. These probabilities are transformed into a categorical forecast in such a way that the percent of correct forecasts will be high with the restriction that the forecasts will be relatively unbiased.

To develop the system, we used the MOS (Model Output Statistics) approach (Glahn and Lowry, 1972). The MOS technique is the determination of the relationship of a predictand, in this case cloud amount, to variables forecast by a numerical model or models, in this case the PE (Shuman and Hovermale, 1968) and trajectory (Reap, 1972) models.

Forecasts from the 0000 GMT runs were used to determine cloud amount at 0800 GMT the same day; forecasts from the 1200 GMT runs were used to determine cloud amount at 0800 GMT the next day. These are, then, 18- and 30-hour forecasts, respectively, both verifying at the same time. The development sample consisted of most days from October 1, 1969 through March 31, 1973. In order to account for local effects, a different forecast relationship was determined for each station, for each projection, and for each of two seasons. The "warm" season was defined as extending from April 1 through September 30, and the "cool" season from October 1 through March 31.

The equations were determined by screening regression. Each equation contains eight predictors. The main predictors are measures of moisture at particular levels or integrated through the column, heights and height changes at constant pressure surfaces, measures of stability, and winds. The four probabilities, one for each cloud category, for a particular station and valid time, sum to unity; however, the individual values are not bounded by zero and one. That is, a value can be negative or greater than one.

Values outside the zero to one range do not, of course, meet the definition of probability. However, there is no practical difficulty with using such values; a negative value can be interpreted as a very low probability.

When transforming probability forecasts into categorical forecasts, one should keep in mind the method by which the forecasts are to be verified, because the verification scheme should reflect the way the forecasts are to be used. We have assumed that the verification would be on the basis of number (or percent) correct. This score can be maximized (theoretically, at least) by choosing for the categorical forecast the category with the highest probability. However, in so doing, unbiased forecasts are not assured. That is, category 1 may be forecast more (or fewer) times than it actually occurs. Since we felt that cloud forecasts should be relatively unbiased, we altered the transformation procedure slightly. We determined from the warm-season dependent data sample that if we multiplied the probability forecasts of categories 1, 2, 3, and 4 by the constants .84, 1.20, 1.04, and .94, respectively, and then chose the highest of the resulting values, the warm-season categorical forecasts would be relatively unbiased. Other transformation factors were determined for use during the cool season.

A facsimile product is being sent to Houston, Texas for use in weather support for NASA Project SKYLAB. This product is composed of five maps. Four of them give the probability of occurrence of each of the four cloud categories; the fifth gives a categorical forecast determined by the procedure explained above. Examples of two of the maps are shown in Figures 1 and 2.
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Fig. 1. An 18-hr forecast of the probability of occurrence of cloud category 4 (overcast) valid at 1800 GMT on September 23, 1973. Labels are in percent; values greater than 60 percent are shaded.

Fig. 2. An 18-hr forecast of cloud category valid at 1800 GMT on September 23, 1973. Lines labeled 1+ divide the category 1 and category 2 areas, etc. Category 4 (overcast) areas are shaded.