NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS WR-107

MAP TYPES AS AID IN USING MOS POPS IN WESTERN U.S.

Ira S. Brenner

Weather Service Forecast Office Phoenix, Arizona August 1976



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Rogers C. B. Morton, Secretary / NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION Robert M. White, Administrator / NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE George P. Cressman, Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		P	age
١.	Introduction		I
11.	Methodology		2
111.	Results		2-5
١٧.	Conctusions		5
۷.	Recommendations		5
VI.	References		6

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure I	Example of Western Region map-type bulletin transmitted over Service "C" teletype circuit twice daily.	7
Figure 2	Comparison of MOS and map-type probability forecasts for Summer 1975.	7
Figure 3	Comparison of MOS and map-type probability forecasts for Winter 1975-76.	8
Figure 4	Comparison of MOS and map-type probability forecasts when the Type I probabilities were modified by the averaging process - Winter 1975-76.	9
Figure 5	Graph of percent improvement of Type PoP over MOS PoP for pure and average type PoPs.	10
Figure 6	Graph of percent improvement of Type PoPs over MOS PoPs for Summer and Winter periods.	10
Figure 7	Graph of percent improvement of Type I PoPs over MOS PoPs.	10
Figure 8	Map types 1 and 9.	
Figure 9	Average probabilities of Type I and Type 9.	12

111

MAP TYPES AS AID IN USING MOS POPS IN WESTERN U.S.

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to investigate the correlation between individual map types and the performance characteristics of Model Output Statistics (MOS) probability forecasts. The data sample used was June - August 1975 (summer season) and December - February 1976 (winter season). Brier Scores and improvement ratios were computed for map type and MOS probability forecasts for various western United States stations for four 12-hour periods. With two exceptions, it was found that map types do not distinguish when more (or less) weight should be given to MOS PoP forecasts. A by-product result of this study showed that map types did provide excellent guidance material for forecasters to use in preparation of extended forecasts and/or outlooks.

1. INTRODUCTION

The map-type program, as developed by MacDonald and Rasch [1], has been in operation since early 1975. The three map types correlating highest with the P.E. 50-kPa analysis and associated 12-, 24-, 36-, 48- and 72-hour prognoses, are determined by NOAA computers and transmitted over teletype Circuit C (Figure 1). During the past year, the Scientific Services Division (SSD) of Western Region Headquarters has been 1) correlating these map types with verification of MOS probabilities; and 2) verifying and comparing map-type probabilities for summer and winter seasons for various western United States stations with corresponding MOS probabilities. While MOS and map-type PoPs were compared, this was done mostly for intellectual curiosity, as map-type PoPs were not intended as competition to MOS. For example, MacDonald and Rasch showed that for an independent sample of nearly 14,000 cases, the type probabilities improved over climatology by only 4.6%, while MOS improvement over climatology was around 20%.

In addition to the main purpose of this study, namely, to establish MOS performance characteristics within individual map types, it was hoped that one spinoff result would show map-type PoPs to be useful as guidance material in preparation of extended forecasts and/or outlooks. Such expectations appeared reasonable in light of the MacDonald and Rasch study. Their project involved a sufficiently large-scale grid (182 points), which allowed large-scale characteristics of the flow pattern to govern map-type selection. This, coupled with the generally accepted idea that longer range computer prognoses (48-96 hours) handle forecasts of the large-scale flow pattern rather well, was the basis for expecting map-type PoPs to be a good extension of PoP guidance beyond that covered by MOS.

11. METHODOLOGY

The data sample used for this study involved only 0000 GMT forecasts for the summer season (June I, 1975 - August 31, 1975), and both 0000 GMT and 1200 GMT forecasts for the winter season (December I, 1975 - February 29, 1976). Stratification of the data was based on individual map-types and deviation of maptype probability from climatology for each station. Climatology for the 12-hour periods were obtained from WRTM #2 [2]. For each station, climatological probabilities of both 12-hour periods in each of the three months of the season involved were averaged. The results were then averaged together to get one climatological probability per season. The climatological probabilities were determined in this manner (i.e., averaged over the complete season) in order to parallel the map-type probabilities, which were also determined for the combined three-month period of the respective season.

Map Type I constituted most of the cases in the summer sample, leaving only small samples of other individual types. Although a statistical test of significance was not performed on these smaller samples, it was felt from a subjective standpoint that all types other than type I should be combined as one data sample. Data for 18 Western Region stations were used. These two sets of map-type data were then compared with the performance of MOS PoPs. Results were determined for four periods corresponding to the first four periods of the MOS forecasts (12, 24, 36 and 48 hours).

The summer study was initiated as a test of the feasibility of the project by the former assistant chief of SSD, Woodrow Dickey, and completed after his retirement. Test results confirmed the feasibility of the study. Consequently, study of the winter season was enlarged by increasing the number of Western Region stations from 18 to 42. Also, the averaging process for map Type I was performed [I]. This process involves averaging map Type I probabilities with the probabilities of the next highest correlated map-type, and considering these averages as the most useful map-type probabilities.

III. RESULTS

A. Summer

Any conclusions from study of the summer data must be tentative, as the available data sample was small. Aside from an abnormal amount of missing data, only 0000 GMT forecasts for 18 verification stations were used. The total data sample involved less than 800 cases per period, and therefore precluded any worthwhile stratification of data by individual map-types. This prevented our being able to relate MOS-PoP weighting to individual types. However, some useful information did come out of studying the summer data.

First, the summer data (Figure 2) was stratified by the deviation of type PoPs from climatological probabilities. When the type PoP exceeded the climatological probability so that the difference (Type PoP - climatological PoP) was less than minus 10 percent (i.e., -11, -12, etc.), the data was accumulated by periods and summarized in the row labeled 'LT -10 PCT'.

-2-

For differences within minus to plus 10 percent, the row labeled 'WI - TO +10 PCT' was used. Finally, if the difference was positive and greater than plus 10 percent (i.e., plus II and greater), the data was tabulated for use in the row labeled 'GT +10 PCT'. Results yielded by combining all the data by periods are given in the 'TOTAL' row. For each appropriate period of the above categories, the Brier Scores for the type PoPs ('TYPE') and MOS PoPs ('MOS'), the improvement of the types over MOS ('IM/MOS'), and the number of cases ('N') were computed.

Figure 2 shows the summer results. When the type PoPs were compared with the MOS PoPs, the 'TYPES' verified surprisingly well. From the 'TOTAL' row, we see that the combined improvement of the 'TYPES' over MOS by periods oscillates generally within plus or minus 5 percent, with MOS doing best in the first period. When the various deviations from climatological categories were examined, it was found that the bulk of the summer cases were when type probabilities were within plus or minus 10 percent of climatological probabilities. Since the combined MOS Brier Scores differed very little from the type Brier Scores, and also since most of the types used involved near-climatological probabilities, it would appear that MOS handles the overall summer climatological deviation categories of 'LT -10 PCT' and 'GT +10 PCT', makes any conclusion from these results tentative.

Due to the small data sample within each type, Type I was considered alone, and the remaining types were combined. Type I is basically a 'near-climatology' type. Yet, Type I improvements over MOS show a steady increase with time, and are positive for the last three periods (i.e., 12-48 hours). This is significant, as it shows that when Type I is indicated, the quality of MOS PoPs decreases more sharply with time than does map-type PoPs. Certainly, this result indicates that forecasters should include consideration of map-type probabilities when preparing PoPs or outlooks for beyond 24 hours.

B. Winter

The winter season data sample was considered large enough to permit more solid conclusions, and also to show the performance of MOS PoPs by individual maptypes. The results are shown in Figure 3. The labelling conventions and stratification used are the same as for the summer season.

The 'TOTAL' results of the combined data improvements of the map-types PoPs over MOS PoPs by periods never reached positive values, although the trend was towards less negative values with time. This, perhaps, is an indication that the MOS skill decreases with time more rapidly than type PoPs for the winter season, and that considerable weight can be placed on map-type PoPs when preparing extended period forecasts.

Despite large differences in the number of cases, the improvement over MOS for the last three periods in the 'LT -10 PCT' and 'GT +10 PCT' deviation from climatological categories are similar and very poor. MOS apparently handles these categories quite well. The improvements of the types over MOS for the same periods are better for the 'WI -10 to +10 PCT' category and also show a steady trend towards lesser negative values with time. Again, the indication here is that the type PoPs for this latter near-climatological category provide fairly reliable quidance for the forecaster for the extended periods.

The trend mentioned above for the 'WI -10 to +10 PCT' category, as would be expected, is also present when Type I is considered separately. Type I, with by far the largest number of cases, is also a near-climatological pattern. As with the Type I summer pattern, MOS data show a steady loss of skill with time. However, the improvements of the type PoPs over MOS for the winter never reach positive values within the four periods; yet, the trend is definite enough to continue to support the hypothesis that type PoPs can be used successfully as extended guidance for winter map Type I.

Individual examination of winter map types showed that Types 2 and 6 (both very dry) were associated with the lowest (i.e., best) MOS Brier Scores for just about every period. This suggests that MOS PoPs should be given considerable weight when 50-kPa Types 2 and 6 are forecast. However, the dry regimes associated with these two map-types contribute significantly to low Brier Scores (Glahn and Jorgensen [3]). An opposite effect exists with map Types 7 and 10. These are rather wet patterns, and as a result, the MOS Brier Scores, where applicable, were the largest (i.e., poorest) of this sample in every period, despite the small number of cases. As a result of the above discussion, it would be inaccurate to use the magnitude of the MOS Brier Score as a measure of how well MOS does within a particular type. A much better indicator would be the improvement of the individual map-type PoPs over MOS PoPs.

A closer analysis of the individual map-types showed Types 4 and 7 as being associated with the best performance of MOS. Map Type 4 is a moderately wet pattern for the western United States. Yet, the MOS Brier Scores in all four periods were almost as low as those yielded by the dry patterns! These low MOS Brier Scores, as well as the considerable improvements of MOS over the type PoPs are considered significant. This indicates that forecasters should weight MOS very heavily when Type 4 is indicated, and if deviations from MOS are made, they should be small.

The results from Type 7 (wet regime) unfortunately are tainted by a relatively small data sample. Nevertheless, the improvement column for the last three periods on Figure 3 is considered sufficiently significant to mention. It appears that MOS PoPs in the last three periods are equal or worse than the type PoPs. Since the type PoPs are conditional climatologies, a possible conclusion of this unexpected result is that under the regime of Type 7, MOS doesn't give any significant refinement of the type probabilities beyond the first period.

The remaining types (i.e., 1, 2, 3, 6, 10) do not reveal any conclusive performance characteristics of MOS, nor do they display any unique trends that would indicate relating MOS performance to these types as being useful guidance for short or extended forecasting.

The results obtained when map-Type I is averaged with the second highest correlated map-type are given in Figure 4. As would be expected, this modification subtracted cases from the 'WI - TO +10 PCT' category and redistributed them in the other two remaining categories. Significant alterations in the improvements over MOS resulted in nearly every period of every category. The beneficial changes, however, were in the 'WI - TO +10 PCT' and 'GT +10 PCT' categories. Both these categories now show a rather encouraging trend towards less negative and more positive values with time (Figure 5). The winter improvements over MOS for all three averaged categories combined by periods (Figure 6), do not reflect this improvement, as the deterioration of the 'LT -10 PCT' category results contributed unfavorably. Of interest also is the fact that the individual winter Type I improvements over MOS deteriorated when averaging was performed (Figure 7). Apparently, this averaging produced a large number of additional cases where the new Type I probability was less than climatology by more than 10 percent. These results indicate that in most cases, when Type I is indicated, the averaging process gives inferior guidance.

Stations where the averaging of map-Type I produces climatological deviations of less than minus 10 percent will tend to be grouped together. In these areas, it would be advantageous to modify the probabilities back in the direction of the pure map-Type I. Figure 8 gives an example. In this case, map-Type I was indicated for the 48-hour forecast (Figure 8a); map-Type 9 was the second highest correlator (Figure 8b). The major difference between the two patterns is the much stronger ridging into the Pacific northwest for map-Type 9. As a result, the averaging process dramatically reduced the probabilities in this region from the values indicated for map-Type 1. The averages are plotted in Figure 9. The shaded area depicts the region where the average type probability minus the climatological probability was less than -10 percent, with the unshaded area being within 10 percent of climatology. The shaded area, therefore, will likely experience a precipitation pattern more characteristic of Type I in 48 hours, rather than an average of Types I and 9. For the unshaded areas, the averaged probabilities should be considered.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. MOS does exceptionally well in all four periods when winter Type 4 is indicated.
- 2. MOS does relatively poorly in the second through fourth periods when winter Type 7 is indicated.
- 3. MOS handles the typical summer patterns guite well.
- 4. Use of 48-hour and 72-hour map-type forecasts as guidance for preparation of extended forecasts and outlooks is warranted.
- 5. The map-Type I probability averaging procedure should not be used during winter when the type PoP for a given station (or area) is lower than climatology by more than 10%.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Continue this study until at least two years of each of the four seasons are tabulated.
- 2. For purposes of simplifying the data collection procedures, verify for each upcoming season the same 18 stations that are used in the Western Region MOS vs. MAN program.
- 3. Alert Western Region Forecast Offices to the fine MOS performance within winter map-Type 4.
- 4. Append the 96-hour map-type forecast to the 0000 GMT message currently being transmitted twice daily on Circuit C.

VI. REFERENCES

- [1] MACDONALD, ALEXANDER E., and RASCH, GLENN E. Map Type Precipitation Probabilities for the Western Region, NOAA Technical Memorandum, WR-96, February 1975.
- [2] MILLER, LUCIANNE. *Climatological Precipitation Probabilities*, ESSA Technical Memorandum, WR-2, December 1965.
- [3] GLAHN, HARRY R., and JORGENSEN, DONALD L. Climatological Aspects of the Brier P-Score, Monthly Weather Review, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 136-141, January 1970.

-6-

 FXUS3
 KWBC
 260000

 500MB
 MAP
 TYPE
 CORRELATIONS
 MAY
 26

 INITL
 12
 HR
 24
 HR
 36
 HR
 48
 HR
 72
 HR

 04355
 04879
 04858
 02842
 04830
 04827

 01796
 02820
 02827
 04832
 02821
 01804

 02729
 01301
 01762
 01777
 01811
 02768

FIGURE 1

TYPE VS MOS SCIENTIFIC SERVICES SUMMER 1975

TOTAL

PERIOD 1 PERIOD 2 PERIOD 3 PERTOD 4 *TYPE MOS IM/MOS N *TYPE MOS IM/MOS N *TYPE MOS IM/MOS N *TYPE MOS IM/MOS DEVIATION FROM CLIMAT Ň LT -10 PCT .0021 .0446 +95.3 23 .0019 .0307 +93.8 14 .0309 .0472 +34.5 36 .0021 .0256 +91.7 8 .0767 .0737 -4.1 681 .0769 .0802 +4.1 678 .0679 .0679 -00.0 658 .0616 .0636 +3.4 WI - TO + 10 PCT 437 .2656 .2325 -14.2 32 .2307 .2225 -3.7 42 .2336 .2073 -12.7 57 .2212 .2580 +14.2 GT +10 PCT 24 -.8 751 .0690 .0730 +5.5 .0826 .0797 -3.6 736 .0843 .0874 +3.5 734 .0787 .0781 469 TOTAL PERIOD 1 PERIOD 2 PERIOD 3 PERIOD 4 *TYPE MOS IM/MOS N *TYPE MOS IM/MOS N *TYPE MOS IM/MOS N *TYPE MOS IM/MOS N TYPE .0749 .0726 -3.2 535 .0731 .0765 +4.4 468 .0704 .0768 +8.3 375 .0696 .0835 +16.6 248 TYPE 1 .1030 .0987 -4.4 201 .1040 .1065 +2.3 266 .0870 .0782 -11.3 376 .0684 .0638 -6.7 221 ALL OTHERS COMBINED

.0826 .0797 -3.6 736 .0843 .0874 +3.5 734 .0787 .0781 -.8 751 .0690 .0730 +5.5 469

FIGURE 2

-7-

DATE 04/16/76 PAGE 20

.

.

TYPE VS MUS SCIENTIFIC SERVICES WINTER 1975

.

	PERIOD 1	PERIDD 2	PERIOD 3	PERIDD 4			
DEVIATION FROM CLIMAT	¢TYPE MOS IM/MOS N	#TYPE MOS IM/MOS N	¢TYPE MOS IM/MOS N	≠TYPE MCS IM/MDS N			
LT -10 PCT	.074 .061 -20.5 1273	.097 .079 -22.9 1441	.102 .087 -17.6 1380	.103 .088 -17.5 1343			
WI - TO + 10 PCT	.138 .107 -29.5 3732	.138 .116 -19.6 3732	.142 .127 -11.8 3806	.139 .128 -8.7 3676			
GT +1C PCT	-217 -152 -43-5 443	-228 -184 -24 -1 287	.223 .188 -18.4 287	-233 -201 -15-8 280			

TUTAL .129 .100 -29.9 5448 .132 .109 -20.6 5460 .136 .120 -13.4 5473 .135 .122 -10.9 5299

******	********	******	*****	• • • • • • • • •	********	*******	******	******	******	****		****	*****	*****	*******	****
		PERI	DD 1			PERI	UD 2			PERI	303			PERIC	30 4	
TYPE	*1 Y P E	MDS	1M/MOS	N	⇒ TYPE	MOS	IM/MOS	N	¢TYPE	MOS	IM/MOS	N	¢TYPE	MOS	IM/MDS	N -
1	.138	.110	-25.3	3166	.147	.125	-13.0	3254	.151	.133	-13.3	3457	•140	.132	-6.6	3354
2	.108	•072	-49.9	146	.156	. 106	-46.7	2.58	.106	.102	-3.4	111	-1.59	-110	-44 .9	111
3	•154	.113	-35.8	826	.139	.112	-23.6	609	.120	.107	-12.6	590	.138	.119	-16.4	495
4	.141	.089	-58-9	225	.118	.094	-26.5	243	.126	.104	-20.8	2.42	-150	.115	-30.1	279
5	•000	-000	•0	0	. 000	-000	•0	0	_ 000	.000	. 0	0	_000	_ 000	•0	0
6	•053	-040	-33 .3	82.9	.066	-054	-21.2	996	•088	.075	-18.1	975	.094	.077	-21.2	957 [•]
7	-187	.143	-30.5	186	.270	-267	-1.3	26	.256	.302	15.3	61	.251	-242	-3.6	103
8	.000	.000	_0	n	.000	.000	.0	0	.000	.000	.0	0	.000	_ 000	.0	0
. 9	•000	-000	.0	0	-000	-000	•0	0	.000	.000	۰0	0	-000	.000	.0	0
10	.231	-160	-44 .2	70	.219	-169	-29.9	74	.215	•133	-61.3	37	-000	_000	.0	0
TOTAL	.129	-100	-29.9	5448	-132	-109	-20.6	5460	.136	. 120	-13.4	5473	.135	-122	-10.9	5299

FIGURE 3

-8-

DATE 05/14/76 PAGE 19

TYPE VS MOS SCIENTIFIC SERVICES WINTER 1975

	PERIDD 1	PERIOD 2	PERIOD 3	PERIOD 4			
DEVIATION FROM CLIMAT	¢TYPE MOS IM/MOS N	*TYPE MOS IM/MOS N	≠TYPE MOS IM/MOS N	¢TYPE HOS IM/MOS N			
LT -10 PCT	.119 .084 -40.9 1704	.122 .099 -23.8 1816	.134 .101 -32.2 1759	.137 .106 -28.9 1741			
WI - TO + 10 PCT	.123 .098 -25.2 3125	.127 .106 -19.7 3161	.128 .121 -6.0 3212	.128 .121 -5.5 3085			
GT +10 PCT	.205 .148 -37.9 619	.204 .174 -17.3 483	.204 .179 -13.9 502	.199 .178 -11.8 473			

TUTAL .131 .100 -31.5 5448 .132 .109 -20.6 5460 .137 .120 -14.2 5473 .137 .122 -13.0 5299

******	******	******	*****	*****	*******	*****	******	*****	******	*******	******	*****	******	*****	******	****	
PERIOD 1					PERIOD 2				PERIOD 3				PERIOD 4				
ΤΥΡΕ	¢TYPE	MOS	IM/MOS	N	*TYPE	MOS	IM/MOS	N	\$ TYPE	Mas	IN/MOS	N	*TYPE	HOS	IM/MOS	N	
1	•140	.110	-27.7	3166	-147	.125	-17.9	3254	.153	.133	-14.4	3457	.144	"132	-9.6	3354	
2	.108	.072	-49.9	146	.156	.106	-46.7	258	.106	.102	-3.4	111	.159	-110	-44.9	111	
3	.154	.113	-35.8	826	.139	.112	-23.6	609	.120	.107	-12.6	590	. 1 38	-119	-16.4	495	
4	.141	.089	-58.9	22.5	.118	.094	-26.15	243	.126	.104	-20+8	242	.150	.115	-30.1	279	
5	.000	.000	.0	0	.000	.000	.0	0	.000	_000	• 0	0	-000	+000	.0	0	
6	.053	.040	-33.3	829	-065	.054	-21.2	996	.088	.075	-18-1	975	-094	.077	-21.2	957	
7	.187	.143	-30.5	186	.270	.267	-1.3	26	.256	.302	15.3	61	. 2.51	.242	-3.6	103	
8	.000	.000	.0	0	.000	.000	.0	0	.000	.000	•0	0	-000	.000	.0	0	
9	.000	.000	.0	0	.000.	.000	.0	0	.000	.000	•0	0	.000	.000	. 0	0	
10	.231	.160	-44.2	70	.219	.169	-29.9	74	.215	.133	-61.3	37	-000	.000	.0	. 0	
TOTAL	.131	.100	-31.5	5448	.132	.109	-20.6	5460	.137	. 120	-14-2	5473	.137	.122	-13.0	5299	

FIGURE 4

-9-











-()-



-12-

Stern Region Trechties: Memoranda: (Conflinued)

- Procipiterilan Probabilities in the Wastern Region Associated with Spring 300-mb Wab Types: Richard P. Augulis, January 1970. (Out of print.) (PB-180434) Procipitation Probabilities in the Wastern Region Associated with Summar 300-mb Map Types. Richard P. Augulis, January 1970. (Out of print.) (PB-180414) Procipitation Probabilities in the Wastern Region Associated with Fall 500-mb Map Types. Richard P. Augulis, January 1970. (Out of print.) (PB-180414) Procipitations Probabilities in the Wastern Region Associated with Fall 500-mb Map Types. Richard P. Augulis, January 1970. (Out of print.) (PB-180435) Appliestions of the Nat Radiomater to Short-Range Fog and Stratus Forecasting at Eugene, Oregon: L. Yac and F. Botes, Discomber 1969. (PB-190476) No: 45/2 No- 45/5
- No: 45/4
- No. 46
- Appliestions of the Net Reflemeter to Short-Range Fog and Stratus Forcesting at Eugens, Oregon: L. Yac and E. Bates, December 1969. (FB-190476) Statistical Analysis as a Flood Routing Teol, Robert J. C. Burnash, December 1969. (FB-188744) Tsunami. Richard P. Augulis, Fobruary 1970. (FB-100157) Predicting Presipitation Type. Robert J. C. Burnash and Floyd E. Hug, March 1970. (FB-18092) Statistical Report on AcrealIngens (Follows and Molds) Fort Hugchure, Arizona, 1969. wave S. Johnson, April 1970. (FB-191745) Western, Region See State and Surf Forcester's Manuel, Corden G. Shields and Gareld B. Burdwell, July 1970. (FB-105102) Sacramento Westher Reder Climatelogy, R. S. Papes and C. W. Veliquette, July 1970. (FB-195347) Experimental Air Quality Feresats in the Sacramento Valley. Norman S. Banes, August 1970. (Out of A Refinement of the Wortleity Field to Definemento Acres of Science S. August 1970. (Out of No: 47 No: 43 No.
- Nor 50 Nøa 51
- No: 52 No: 53
- nr.) (H5=124126) folgement of the Wortleity Field to Delineate Areas of Significant Pracipitation, Berry B. leviton, August 1970. leation of the SARR Model to a Basin Without Discharge Record. Vall Schermerhorn and Donald W. I. August 1970. (PB=194394) I. Bovarage of Procipitation in Northwestern Utan. Philip WIIIlams, Jr., and Warner J. Hack, ambar 1970.
- NO: 54 Reffin
- No: 55 Appi îce
- No: 55 Afeel Cove
- Saptember 1970. (PB-194389) Preliminary Report on Agricultural Field Burning vs. Atmospheric Visibility in the Willemette Valley of Orceon. Earl M. Bates and David G. Chileote, Saptember 1970. (PB-194710) Air Poliution by Jet Alteration of Seattle-Taapma Airport. Wallace R. Donaldson, October 1970. (200-71-00017) NO: 57
- No: 53
- 200-91-000179 pp/leation of P.E. Model Forceast Parameters to Local-Area Forceasting: Leonard W. Smeilmen, October 270.: (CCM-71-00016) No. 59 1970

NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS

- An Aid for Foresasting the Minimum Temporature at Mediard, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (80M=7(-00120) . No. 60
- No: 61
- No- 62 No- 63
- (GOM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO₂ Concentrations of Salt Lake Gifty, Utah. Werner J. Heek, January 1971, (GOM-71-00252) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy: Arthur L. Elchelberger, February 1971, (COM-71-00223) 709-mb Marm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montane and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Weerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Brast Falls, Montane. Warren B. Price, Noreh 1971. 911mate of Sceramonto, California. Wilbur E. Figgins, June 1971. (COM-71-00764) A Preliminary Report on Correlation of ARTGC Redar Echoes and Precipitation. Wilbur K. Hell, June 1971. (COM-71-00329) NO: 54 NO: 55 NO: 65
- No. 67
- (2004-71=00828) Procipitation Detroction Probabilitics by Los Angeles ARTC Redars: Dennis 5. Ronna, July 1971. (Out of print.). (2004-71-60225) A Survey of Marine Weather Requirements. Horbert P. Benner, July 1971. (Out of print.) (2004-71-60889) Nettonal Weather Service Support to Soaring Activities. Eilis Burton, August 1971. (Quit of print.) No: 33 No: 39
- Normality were and the support of the second second of the second s N⊚e, 70
- No: 70
- No: 72
- 4No: 73
- estern Region Sympths Analysis-Problems and Methodes. Antity Withows Strip Conduct, 1972. (Out of Paradex Primeiple in the Prediction of Proelpitation Type. Themas J. Weltz, February 1972. (Out of Fint.) (COM-72-10432) Symptic Olimatology for Snowstorms in Northwestern Nevada. Bart L. Nolson, Paul M. Fransfell; and Harance M. Sakameto, February 1972. (Out of print.) (COM-72-10350) Study of the Lew Level Jet Stream of the San Jeaguin Valley. Ranale A. Wills and Phills williams, Jr., Study of the Lew Level Jet Stream of the San Jeaguin Valley. Ranale A. Wills and Phills Williams, Jr., av 1973. (COM-72-10707) Compile W. Cales, July 1972. (Com-72-1140) Study of Redar Eche Distribution in Arizone During July and August. John E. Hales, Jr., July 1972. (COM-72-11136) Nos 74 Nos 75
- No: 76 Мo
- No- 77
- merzenitioon geasting Precipitation at Bakarsfield, Galifornia, Using Pressure Gradient Vectors. Eeri T. Riddiough. y 1972. (GOM-72-1146) matte of Stockton, California. Robert G. Nelson, July 1972. (GOM-72-10920) imation of Number of Days Above or Balow Solected Temperatures. Clarence M. Sakamoto, October 1972. Ng: 78 y 1972
- No: 79
- 300-72-10021) Alé (or Forceasting Summer Naximum Temperatures at Seattle, Nashington. Edgar G. Johnson, November No. 31
- No. 82 Non-85
- No- 34
- An Ald for Foreesting Summer Maximum Temperatures of Seattle, Washington. Edger C. Johnson, November 1972. (GOM-73-10196) Flash Flood Foreesting and Warning Program in the Washerm Region. Philip Williams, Jr., Chester L. Slang, and Retend L. Rety, December 1972. (COW-73-10291) A Comparison of Manual and Samlautomatic Methods of Digitizing Analog Wind Resords. Clenn E. Raseh, March 1973. (COM-73-10369) Southwastern United States Summer Monsoon Source-Sulf of Maxies or Pacific Gecan? John E. Heles, Jr., Warch 1973. (COM-73-10369) Southwastern United States Summer Monsoon Source-Sulf of Maxies or Pacific Gecan? John E. Heles, Jr., Warch 1973. (COM-73-10369) Range of Reder Detection Associated with Procipitation Echoes of Siven Heights by the WSR-97 of Missoula, Manchae, Reymand Granger, April 1973. (COM-73-1033) NG: 85 nge of New De Granger, April 1973. (880-73-11038) mainana, Frababilitias for Sequences of Wat Days af Pheanix, Arizona: Paul C. Kangleser, June 1973. 2.No: 86
 - 5-187
- No: 88
- nerriener rieuserrinnies for sequences of Wet Days of Phoenix, Arizona: Paul C. Kengleser, Juna 1975. 204-73=11264) Refinement of the Use of K-Values in Forecesting Thunderstorms in Weshington and Oregon. Robert Y Lee, June 1973. (COM-73=11276) Sunge of Maritime Tropical Air--Sult of Galifornia to the Southwestern United States. Ira S. Brenner, Disting Encoded of Toosia 1975. Nø. 39
- ury 1973: Djerrive Rojraeast of Presipitation Over the Western Ragion of the United States. Julia N: Paegle and arry P. Klerulff, Saptambar 1973, (QOM-73-11646/3AS) Thunderstorm Warm Wake" at Midland, Texas, Rishard A. Weod, Sottamber 1973: (QOM-73-11845/AS) rlyona "Eddy" Tornedoas, Robert S. Ingram, Ostober 1973; (QOM-74+10465) No- 90 Arizona ⁿédén

NOAA Technical Memorenda NWSWR: (Continued) No. 92 Smoke Management in the Willamette Valley, Earl M. Bates, May 1974. (COM-74-11277/AS) No. 93 An Operational Evaluation of 500-mb Type Stratified Regression Equations. Alexander E. MacDonald, June 1974. (COM-74-11407/AS) No. 94 Conditional Probability of Visibility Less Than One-Half Mile in Radiation Fog at Fresno, California, John D. Thomas, August 1974. (COM-74-11555/AS) Climate of Flagstaff, Arizona. Paul W. Sorenson, August 1974. 95 No. (COM=74-11678/AS) No . 96 Map Type Precipitation Probabilities for the Western Region, Glenn E. Rasch and Alexander E. MacDonald, February 1975. (COM-75-10428/AS) 97 Eastern Pacific Cut-Off Low of April 21-28, 1974. William J. Alder No. and George R. Miller, January 1976. 98 No. Study on a Significant Precipitation Episode in the Western United States. Ira S. Brenner, April 1975. (COM-75-10719/AS) A Study of Flash Flood Susceptibility-A Basin in Southern Arizona. No. 99 Gerald Williams, August 1975. (COM-75-11360/AS) No. 100 A Study of Flash-Flood Occurrences at a Site Versus Over a Forecast Zone. Gerald Williams, August 1975. (COM-75-11404/AS) NO. 101 Digitized Eastern Pacific Tropical Cyclone Tracks. Robert A. Baum and Glenn E. Rasch, September 1975. (COM-75-11479/AS) A Set of Rules for Forecasting Temperatures in Napa and Sonoma NO. 102 Countles. Wesley L. Tuft, October 1975. (PB 246 902/AS) No. 103 Application of the National Weather Service Flash-Flood Program in the Western Region. Gerald Williams, January 1976. (PB 253 053/AS) Objective Aids for Forecasting Minimum Temperatures at Reno, Nevada, No. 104 During the Summer Months. Christopher D. Hill, January 1976. Forecasting the Mono Wind. Charles P. Ruscha, Jr., February 1976. No. 105 Use of MOS Forecast Parameters in Temperature Forecasting, John C. No. 106 Plankinfon, Jr., March 1976.