

NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS WR76

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service

Last espy

Monthly Climatological Charts of the Behavior of Fog and Low Stratus at Los Angeles International Airport

DONALD M. GALES

Western Region

ALT LAKE CITY,

July 1972

NOAA TECHNICAL MEMORANDA National Weather Service, Western Region Subseries

з ...

The National Weather Service (NWS) Western Region (WR) Subseries provides an informal medium for the documentation and quick dissemination of results not appropriate, or not yet ready, for formal publication. The series is used to report on work in progress, to describe technical procedures and practices, or to relate progress to a limited audience. These Technical Memoranda will report on investigations devoted primarily to regional and local problems of interest mainly to personnel, and hence will not be widely distributed.

Papers I to 23 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Western Region Technical Memoranda (WRTM); papers 24 to 59 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Weather Bureau Technical Memoranda (WBTM). Beginning with 60, the papers are part of the series, NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS.

Papers I to 23, except for 5 (revised edition) and 10, are available from the National Weather Service Western Region, Scientific Services Division, P. O. Box 11188, Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utan 84111. Papers 5 (revised edition), 10, and all others beginning with 24 are available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Sills Bldg., 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Va. 22151. Price: \$3.00 paper copy; \$0.95 microfiche. Order by accession number shown in parentheses at end of each entry.

ESSA Technical Memoranda

- WRTM |
- WRTM 2
- Some Notes on Probability Forecasting. Edward D. Diemer, September 1965. (Out of print.) Climatological Precipitation Probabilities. Compiled by Lucianne Miller, December 1965. Western Region Pre- and Post-FP-3 Program, December 1, 1965 to February 20, 1966. Edward D. Diemer, March WRTM 3 1966.
- WRTM 4
- Use of Meteorological Satellite Data. March 1966. Station Descriptions of Local Effects on Synoptic Weather Patterns. Philip Williams, Jr., April 1966 (revised November 1967, October 1969). (PB-178000) Improvement of Forecast Wording and Format. C. L. Glenn, May 1966. Final Report on Precipitation Probability Test Programs. Edward D. Diemer, May 1966. WRTM 5
- WRTM 6
- WRTM 7
- Interpreting the RAREP. Herbert P. Benner, May 1966 (revised January 1967). (Out of print.) WRTM 8
- WRTM 9 A Collection of Papers Related to the 1966 NMC Primitive-Equation Model. June 1966.
- Sonic Boom. Loren Crow (6th Weather Wing, USAF, Pamphlet), June 1966. (Out of print.) (AD-479366) Some Electrical Processes in the Atmosphere. J. Latham, June 1966. WRTM 10 WRTM 11
- A Comparison of Fog Incidence at Missoula, Montana, with Surrounding Locations. Richard A. Dightman, WRTM 12 August 1966. (Out of print.) A Collection of Technical Attachments on the 1966 NMC Primitive-Equation Model. Leonard W. Snellman, WRTM 13
- August 1966. (Out of print.) WRTM 14 Application of Net Radiometer Measurements to Short-Range Fog and Stratus Forecasting at Los Angeles.
- Frederick Thomas, September 1966. WRTM 15 The Use of the Mean as an Estimate of "Normal" Precipitation in an Arid Region. Paul C. Kangieser,
- November 1966.
- WRTM 16 Some Notes on Acclimatization in Man. Edited by Leonard W. Snellman, November 1966.
- WRTM 17 A Digitalized Summary of Radar Echoes Within 100 Miles of Sacramento, California. J. A. Youngberg and L. B. Overaas, December 1966. Limitations of Selected Meteorological Data. December 1966.
- WRTH 18
- WRTM 19 A Grid Method for Estimating Precipitation Amounts by Using the WSR-57 Radar. R. Granger, December 1966. (Out of print.) WRTM 20
- Transmitting Radar Echo Locations to Local Fire Control Agencies for Lightning Fire Detection. Robert R. Peterson, March 1967. (Out of print.) WRTM 21
- An Objective Aid for Forecasting the End of East Winds in the Columbia Gorge, July through October. D. John Coparanis, April 1967. WRTM 22
- WRTM 23
- Derivation of Radar Horizons in Mountainous Terrain. Roger G. Pappas, April 1967. "K" Chart Applications to Thunderstorm Forecasts Over the Western United States. Richard E. Hambidge, May 1967.

ESSA Technical Memoranda, Weather Bureau Technical Memoranda (WBTM)

- WRTM 24 Historical and Climatological Study of Grinnell Glacier, Montana. Richard A. Dightman, July 1967. (PB-17807!)
- WRTM 25 Verification of Operational Probability of Precipitation Forecasts, April 1966-March 1967. W. W. Dickey,
- October 1967. (PB-176240) WBTM 26
- A Study of Winds in the Lake Mead Recreation Area. R. P. Augulis, January 1968. (PB-177830) WBTM 27
- Objective Minimum Temperature Forecasting for Helena, Montana. D. E. Olsen, February 1968. (PB-177827) WBTM 28 Weather Extremes. R. J. Schmidli, April 1968 (revised July 1963). (PB-178928) Smail-Scale Analysis and Prediction. Philip Williams, Jr., May 1968. (PB-178425)
- WETM 29
- WBTH 30 Numerical Weather Prediction and Synoptic Meteorology. Capt. Thomas D. Murphy, U.S.A.F., May 1968. (AD-673365)
- WBTM 31 Precipitation Detection Probabilities by Salt Lake ARTC Radars. Robert K. Belesky, July 1968. (PB-179084)
- WBTM 32 Probability Forecasting--A Problem Analysis with Reference to the Portland Fire Weather District. Harold S. Ayer, July 1968. (PB-179289)
- WBTM 33
- Objective Forecasting. Philip Williams, Jr., August 1968. (AD-680425) The WSR-57 Radar Program at Missoula, Montana. R. Granger, October 1968. (PB-180292) WBTM 34
- Joint ESSA/FAA ARTC Radar Weather Surveillance Program. Herbert P. Benner and DeVon B. Smith, December 1968 WBTM 35 (revised June 1970). (AD-681857) WBTH: 36
- Temperature Trends in Sacramento--Another Heat Island. Anthony D. Lentini, February 1969. (Out of print.) (PB-183055) WBTM 37
- Disposal of Logging Residues Without Damage to Air Quality. Owen P. Cramer, March 1969. (PB-183057) WBTM 38 Climate of Phoenix, Arizona. R. J. Schmidli, P. C. Kangieser, and R. S. Ingram. April 1969. (Out of print.) (PB-184235)
- WBTM 39
- WRTM 40 WBTM 41
- Upper-Air Lows Over Northwestern United States. A. L. Jacobson, April 1969. (PB-184296) The Man-Machine Mix in Applied Weather Forecasting in the 1970s. L. W. Snellman, August 1969. (PB-185068) High Resolution Radiosonde Observations. W. S. Johnson, August 1969. (PB-185673) Analysis of the Southern California Santa Ana of January 15-17, 1966. Barry B. Aronovitch, August 1969. WRTM 42
- WBTM 43 Forecasting Maximum Temperatures at Helena, Montana. David E. Olsen, October 1969. (PB-185762) Estimated Return Periods for Short-Duration Precipitation in Arizona. Paul C. Kangiaser, October 1969.
- WBTM 44
- (PB-187763) WBTM 45/1 Precipitation Probabilities in the Western Region Associated with Winter 500-mb Map Types. Richard A. Augulis, December 1969, (PB-188248)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE

NOAA Technical Memorandum NWSTM WR-76

MONTHLY CLIMATOLOGICAL CHARTS OF THE BEHAVIOR OF FOG AND LOW STRATUS AT LOS ANGELES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

Donald M. Gales Weather Service Forecast Office Los Angeles, California



WESTERN REGION TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NO. 76

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH JULY 1972

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ð

	Page
Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Development -	2
Definitions	2
Examples of Interpreting the Charts	3
Applications	4
Concluding Remarks	8
Acknowledgments	9
References	9

4

LEGENDS FOR TABLE AND FIGURES

		Page
Table 1.	Symbols Used on Charts	10
Figure 1.	Average Number Days per Month of Fog (Top) and Low Stratus (Bottom) for Los Angeles Internationa Airport, 1952 - 1962 and 1963 - 1971.	1 11
Figure 2.	Examples of Data Entries on Monthly and Seasonal Fog and Stratus Charts (See text for further explanation)	12
Figure 3.	Summer Low Stratus Chart	13
Figure 4.	Fall Low Stratus Chart	14
Figure 5.	Fall Fog Chart	15
Figure 6.	Winter Low Stratus Chart	16
Figure 7.	Winter Fog Chart	17
Figure 8.	Spring Low Stratus Chart	18
Figure 9.	Spring Fog Chart	19
Figure 10.	January Low Stratus Chart	20
Figure 11.	January Fog Chart	21
Figure 12.	February Low Stratus Chart	22
Figure 13.	February Fog Chart	23
Figure 14.	March Low Stratus Chart	24
Figure 15.	March Fog Chart	25
Figure 16.	April Low Stratus Chart	26
Figure 17.	April Fog Chart	27
Figure 18.	May Low Stratus Chart	28
Figure 19.	May Fog Chart	29
Figure 20.	June Low Stratus Chart	30

iii

	LEGENDS FOR TABLE AND FIGURES (Continued)	Page
Figure 21	June Fog Chart	31
Figure 22	July Low Stratus Chart	32
Figure 23	July Fog Chart	33
Figure 24	August Low Stratus Chart	34
Figure 25	August Fog Chart	35
Figure 26	September Low Stratus Chart	36
Figure 27	September Fog Chart	37
Figure 28	October Low Stratus Chart	3 8
Figure 29	October Fog Chart	39
Figure 30	November Low Stratus Chart	40
Figure 31	November Fog Chart	41
Figure 32	December Low Stratus Chart	42
Figure 33	December Fog Chart	43

MONTHLY CLIMATOLOGICAL CHARTS OF THE BEHAVIOR OF FOG AND LOW STRATUS AT LOS ANGELES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

ABSTRACT

Coordinates of arrival time and ending time are used to chart the monthly climatology of fog as well as very low stratus clouds at Los Angeles International Airport. Nineteen years of record and special observations are charted by seasons and months in two categories: Fog (less than 200-foot ceiling and/or visibility less than 1/2 mile) and low stratus (ceilings 200 feet through 500 feet and visibilities of 1/2 mile or more). A variety of chart symbols are used for cloud or fog conditions to indicate the origin, how it subsequently changes to another category, its duration, and repetitions within categories. From the 24 monthly charts and 7 seasonal charts which are reproduced, patterns are discernible which should be useful to forecasters and flight operations personnel who have to make decisions about the immediate behavior of these marginal-type weather conditions. Some rather distinct patterns are apparent.

A comparison of fog frequency between the years 1952-1962 and 1963-1971 shows a significant reduction in fog in the recent period. This is tentatively attributed to extensive land development and expansion of the airport westward toward the ocean.

INTRODUCTION

Fog and stratus on the Southern California coast and at Los Angeles International Airport (LAX) have been abundantly documented by many authors. Only a few authors have attempted to derive parameters for objectively forecasting occurrence of fog or stratus, even though they occur, or the potential for their occurrence is present, on most nights of the year.

Even more difficult than a yes-no forecast of whether fog or stratus will occur is the forecast time of its arrival over the terminal. Very little has been written on this subject. The commonly practiced method of timing the event is "modified persistence". This is an evaluation of all available data in comparison with data from the previous day and the forecast trend of pressure systems, gradients, etc. Current data are used to modify the timing and cloud height of the previous day. The forecaster's experience includes variations due to synoptic patterns, seasons of the year, climatology, and many more specific parameters.

Graham (1952) developed an objective technique to predict the arrival time of warm-season stratus using a thickness value, a pressure gradient, and the Catalina Island Airport observation.

- 1 -

Gales (1962) used a pressure gradient and its 24-hour change in a study for fog forecasting, and Gales (1964) used the 1400Z and 1900Z dew-point temperatures in a similar study.

Keith (1957) developed a check list with numerically weighted items for forecasting clear sky, fog, or stratus at the terminal. The sum of the weighted numbers indicated the most probable forecast.

More recently, Eichelberger (1971) developed an objective technique for forecasting the Catalina Eddy using a coastal pressure gradient and the 500-millibar baroclinic vorticity forecast.

With these techniques, stratus forecasting has improved, but subtle parameter changes, often in conflicting directions, make stratus forecasting as much an art as a science.

This paper is concerned with use of fog and low-stratus climatology as an aid to the forecaster or airline flight-operations personnel who have to make decisions when fog has spread onto the airport, or is imminent. The climatology is only applicable to IAX.

DEVELOPMENT

Nineteen years of LAX hourly and special observations were examined for the presence of fog and low stratus. Each case of fog or stratus was charted separately according to time of beginning and ending. Storm conditions were not considered in the study. If it was raining at observation time or if rain had recently been reported, the observations were not considered. Thin broken or thin overcast sky condition was considered as a ceiling.

DEFINITIONS

These categories were arbitrarily selected for their application to aircraft operations:

FOG:Ceiling \leq 100 ft.and/or Visibility < 1/2 miLOW STRATUS:Ceiling = 200 to 500 ft and Visibility \geq 1/2 miSTRATUS:Ceiling \geq 600 ftand Visibility \geq 1/2 mi

Three basic symbols are used in the charting: \odot , \times , \bigstar . Variations of the solid symbols are made by appending arrows. These symbols are intended to be logical, readable descriptions of the behavior of each fog or low stratus event. The symbol describes the recent history and subsequent behavior of the condition within its category. They are described in Table 1. In some cases repetitions can be traced between charts by the symbols.

- 2 -

The charts were plotted by months for both fog and low stratus as defined above. Because 19 years is a short period for monthly stratification of data, seasonal charts are also provided. Seasons were defined by similarity of behavior of fog or low stratus rather than by the calendar. Seasons vary in length from two to four months with a different grouping for fog and low stratus. There is no summer fog season chart, and July fog was not included in any season since it was dissimilar to adjacent months due to short fog durations.

Seasonal charts include only the initial symbols $\bigstar, \times, \diamondsuit$, for a date and as such represent no redundancy of data, whereas monthly charts include open symbols for repetitions on a given date. To the casual observer this redundancy would tend to bias the data in favor of more events than actually occurred.

It is important for a study such as this to have observations all taken from the same location on the airport. Heat from the ground and lowlevel mechanical turbulence tend to lift the leading edge of the fog as it moves inland from the beach, which is about two miles west of the LAX observation site. Farther inland from the immediate coast, higher ceilings are likely to occur within the marine layer. To move the site westward would tend to increase the amount of data observed in the Fog Category as well as to lengthen the duration slightly. The observation site was moved westward 3/4 mile in June 1968. It is felt, however, that the basic presentation is still valid, although perhaps with a slight bias.

An additional factor of significant effect on the data is man-made changes to the environment over the years. Extensive suburban development of formerly agricultural areas, airport paving, building construction, and increased use of the airport and its facilities along with aircraft and motor vehicle emissions probably affect the amount and height of fog and low clouds observed. The westward move may compensate to some degree for the man-made effects.

Figure 1 shows a reduction in frequency of both fog and low stratus for most months since 1962, when airport activities were accelerating. This change in the climatology of fog and low stratus should not affect the validity of the charts, as these are to be used for forecasting arrival times and subsequent behavior of fog and low stratus, not the occurrence of these conditions.

EXAMPLES OF INTERPRETING THE CHARTS

Observations are plotted in two categories, fog and low stratus, using arrival time of the event as abscissa and the ending time of the event as ordinate (Figure 2). Fog ends when it lifts into the low stratus category, when it dissipates, or when it is advected away. In the case of fog lifting to low stratus, the ending time of the fog becomes the beginning time on the low stratus chart; and the reverse occurs when low stratus becomes fog.

- 3 -

Note that the time difference between beginning and ending of an event is the duration of the event. The zero-duration line is a diagonal across the chart upward to the right. All events of the same duration will fall on a line equidistant from the zero-duration line. Though not a chart coordinate, duration is a convenient parameter for comparing monthly patterns of behavior of the fog and low stratus.

Figure 2 shows several examples of fog entries. Note that the diagonal zero-duration line limits all data to the upper left-hand side of the chart. On monthly and seasonal charts the lower-right halves of the charts are deleted and the abscissa labels are placed along the diagonal for ease of reading.

The first example in Figure 2 shows an \times on the 4-hour duration line. This indicates a fog began at 2345 GMT and ended at 0345 GMT. The fog did not repeat during that night according to the definition of the \times -symbol (Table 1.

Another example (A) shows fog beginning at 0000 GMT and ending at 0100 GMT. The duration was one hour. The solid round symbol $\textcircled{\bullet}$ indicates this to be the first fog entry of the date, and that it came from the lowering of low stratus to fog. It ended by lifting up to low stratus and repeated again at 0300 GMT by the lowering of stratus to fog (See A^1 in Figure 2). The open symbol $\textcircled{\bullet}$ shows that the condition is a repetition of an earlier entry on the chart and also shows the fog ending by the visibility increasing to 1/2 mile or more at 0410 GMT. A second repetition (A^2) is indicated by $\textcircled{\bullet}$ at 0700 GMT when the visibility again decreased to less than 1/2 mile and/or the ceiling became sero or 100 feet, but not by lowering. This condition ended by lifting to low stratus near 0730 GMT. Letters with exponents are used to trace the particular case with subsequent repetitions. Not all cases are so lettered.

A third example has a solid triangular symbol \bigstar whose appendage indicates the fog came from the lowering of low stratus at 0400 GMT and it lifted back to low stratus at 0700 GMT. The solid triangle means this one event did not repeat in the fog category on this night. The triangle is used rather than the X symbol because of the change into the low stratus category.

APPLICATIONS

In practice the forecaster often has a difficult time describing the variable nature of the anticipated fog or stratus condition. It is hoped that he will find guidance in these charts for improvement of his forecasts. For example, he may not become concerned by report of a fog bank west of the airport at certain hours during a particular month if he checks the chart and find that fog did not move over the airport until some time later in the day; or that in some months or during evening hours, fog duration is never more than a few hours; or sometimes the forecaster can state with confidence that a certain condition will (or will not) happen, because the 19-year climatology shows the event very frequently (or rarely) occurred at a certain hour in that particular month.

A flight dispatcher of an airline may find the time-behavior charts useful when he has to decide Whether an airplane approaching LAX should go to an alternate airport, proceed to LAX, or take a chance on holding aloft in the area until the weather improves to landing conditions during a fog. Such decisions are always a calculated risk, but with the help of the time-behavior charts he has something factual upon which to base his decision. For example, in December it can be safely said that fog (ceiling below 200 feet and/or visibility less than 1/2 mile) is quite unlikely before 2330 GMT: or in March, if the airport is foggy at some time before 0800 GMT, the dispatcher should feel confident that the fog will not last over two hours and that the fog more likely will dissipate than lift. He might decide it unwise to pick up passengers from a flight that landed at a nearby alternate airport because of fog at LAX when the charts show a definite pattern of short-duration fogs (as in March), and that in less time than the bus ride the flight could fly into LAX after the fog lifts.

During changeable fog periods or marginal weather when the trend is uncertain, the time-behavior charts will give objective help to answer such questions as:

- How long is this condition likely to last?
- Now that it has ended, is it likely to repeat?
- How long before it may fog in again?
- Is the stratus likely to lower to fog, or will it stay as low stratus?

For several examples of applications of the data we will assume some representative conditions and follow through the charts to a reasonable forecast.

1. <u>Given</u>: March, ending of a period of dry offshore flow, no fog is reported over the water in the afternoon, but the sea breeze has increased coastal dew-point temperatures and pressure gradients are such that by other means (Gales, 1962, 1964) fog has been decided upon as the likely forecast for the coming night.

Procedure: Turn to the March Fog Chart or Spring Fogs and note that the majority of fogs prior to 0800 GMT (hereafter noted as 08Z) were caused by lowering of low stratus to the fog condition, and fog never lasted over two hours. Since no fog or clouds are over the water on this hypothetical afternoon, fog is most likely to come from either radiation (rare) or from later advection, but

- 5 -

not from the lowering of advected clouds. (This decision is largely from experience.) The charts indicate the arrival of belowlimits fog to be unlikely earlier than O8Z to 10Z. The fog is not likely to be variable (allowing intermittent flight operations) since there are few open circles on the March Fog Chart. The ending time of the fog is usually by 17Z.

Forecast: LAX going below limits (below 2X1/2F) at 10Z and becoming operational between 15Z and 16Z through dissipation of the fog rather than from lifting into low stratus.

2. Given: March, the next night after the above example. Fog and low stratus are over the water and up to the coastline at forecast time (21Z). Pressure gradients are becoming more onshore toward the desert, indicating a trend toward low clouds with possible fog tonight. Daytime temperatures have been fairly warm and sunshine has warmed the ground.

<u>Procedure</u>: Since fog is along the shoreline and should move in with the sea breeze, the forecaster will find on the Spring Fog, Spring Low Stratus, or the two March charts that an arrival time earlier than 22Z is unlikely (Earliest onsets of stratus are about 2130Z; for fog, about 00Z); also, 00Z is a popular beginning time for low stratus. Low stratus is chosen because the warm ground will tend to lift the leading edge of the fog as it moves inland to the airport. However, the charts indicate that quite often a lowering-and-lifting pattern (\bigotimes , \bigotimes)takes place in March with the duration in the low stratus category likely being less than four hours and in the fog category less than one hour during the time prior to about 09Z. Given the pressure gradient trend favoring low clouds, the forecaster may forecast something like the following:

Forecast: Marginal low stratus arriving at OOZ with short periods of fog (less than 2X1/2F) prior to O8Z or 10Z; then varying between low stratus and stratus above 500 feet (\circlearrowright). This was chosen because of the pressure gradient trend, and March charts show repeat conditions in the low stratus category are most often of this type (\circlearrowright) after 08Z. (The other choice for repetitions would be continuations between fog and low stratus (\circlearrowright) which was rejected because of the pressure gradient trend noted above.) After 14Z the forecast will be for higher stratus and continued lifting (\circlearrowright).

Among the useful information derivable from the charts are:

- 1. Initial arrival time will rarely be earlier than that shown by the data toward the left side of the charts.
- 2. Normal ending times for the conditions will be found at the stratifying of the data near the top of the chart.

- 3. Variability during day or night will be indicated by the number and time-distribution of the open circles. (Caution: Some dates may be represented by several circles, thus lending some bias toward variability.
- 4. Note the types of variability from the appendages (or lack of) on the symbols. Is it lifting-and lowering (\sum) or in-and-out (O) conditions?
- 5. Note the duration pattern, especially from the X and **&** symbols, which are nonrepeating events during the night.
- 7. Compare the relative frequency of fog and low stratus conditions ending within their categories to the frequency of cases lifting to the next higher category. (Compare symbols without appendages to those with appendages.) For example, on the Fall Fog Chart, prior to 05Z, 31 of 35 initial onsets of fog were due to lowering from low stratus, while on the Winter Fog Chart, prior to 05Z, only 14 of 94 cases were due to the same action.
- 8. Compare seasonal distributions. Note that an early fog in winter may have a long duration, but spring and fall fogs forming prior to O8Z are mostly of short duration.
- 9. Use monthly charts in conjunction with seasonal charts for possible refinement, since there is a gradual transition between months as well as between seasons.
- 10. When the event has already begun, use the trend already established (lift-and-lower or in-and-out) to provide a clue as to which chart symbols to examine for future behavior.

As an example of application of the charts after an event has begun, assume it is an August evening at O2Z. A low stratus (M3 \bigoplus 1F) moved over LAX from the west. The Summer Low Stratus Chart shows that (a) evening low stratus usually comes from the lowering of a higher stratus and returns to higher stratus (\bigoplus , \bigoplus); (b) at or near O2Z low stratus arriving without lowering (as assumed in our example) will in reality much more often continue to lower to fog (\bigoplus) than rise (\bigoplus); (c) it has an almost equal chance to clear out, however, \bigoplus , \bigotimes . Half of those fogs that clear out will not reappear as low stratus (\bigotimes).

As to duration, the Summer Low Stratus Chart shows (a) the condition could last into the morning, but more likely (b) it will be variable (circles) and last less than two hours in this category. Looking at the Fall Fog Chart we are assured that (a) nearly every fog in the evening comes from lowering conditions and almost always lifts back up to low stratus (, ,); and (b) fog duration is usually under four hours and quite likely less than one hour if it begins between 03Z and

- 7 -

05Z. Additionally, fog repetitions are not common (circles) in August, but low stratus repetitions are common.

The forecaster's conclusion might be that the presently observed low stratus will lower to fog (below 2X1/2F) at about 03Z and lift up again to low stratus within an hour or so. Further conclusions about the behavior would depend upon trends of pressure and wind flow not specified in this example. However, the August Fog Chart gives about an even chance for a second appearance, probably around 10Z and lasting for several hours.

While these data are climatological and statistical, the forecaster can make the historical facts much more useful when incorporated with his knowledge of events transpiring at the moment and using this information with other objective aids to formulate his forecast.

It was found in working with the data that winter months tend to have <u>low stratus</u> for relatively short times, and that this condition is more often a transitory stage of a changing condition. Also, in spring the diurnal oscillation of ceiling height was greater than in other months. It was not unusual in April and May to have ceilings lower from 1000 feet to 300 feet during the night, a rather large change.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The climatology of the behavior of fog and low stratus has been charted by months and seasons to give the meteorologist another tool in understanding and forecasting a rather common weather condition at IAX. Many years of observations from one location are necessary to make such a study representative. Future work similar to this could be made less complex by merging periods of brief changes having little or no operational significance. For example, lifting or lowering of fog or stratus for a period lasting only 10 minutes may be considered of little importance except for an aircraft in position for takeoff or already on a landing approach. Also, brief fluctuations between <u>low-stratus</u> and <u>stratus</u> ceilings are of little importance except when part of a trend.

Changes in fog climatology at LAX have taken place over the years.

- 8 -

Fog is less common in recent years, particularly in the warmer months. It is suggested that airport development by paving and construction of buildings, as well as increased utilization of the runways, has had a large influence in this change.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first ten years of data were assembled while the author was a Senior Forecaster with Trans-World Airlines in Los Angeles. The next five years of data were extracted from microfilm and plotted by Misses Marilyn Torchinsky and Warnie Webster, student assistants in the Techniques Development Laboratory, Silver Spring, Maryland, where the author was a Research Meteorologist.

The manuscript was reviewed by the Staff at Los Angeles Weather Service Forecast Office, and the preliminary draft was typed by Mrs. Frankie Latimer.

REFERENCES

- Graham, R. D., "An Objective Method for Forecasting Stratus Arrival Time at Los Angeles International Airport". Unpublished manuscript of Los Angeles Weather Service Forecast Office, January 1952, Page 13.
- 2. Keith, R. W., "Fog/Stratus Check Sheet Project". Unpublished manuscript TWA Los Angeles Meteorological Office, 1957, Page 29.
- Gales, D. M., "A Semi-Objective Method for Forecasting Fog and Stratus Clouds at Los Angeles International Airport". TWA Technical Bulletin No. 62-2, Kansas City, Missouri, July 1962, Page 32.
- 4. Gales, D. M., "Critical Dew-Point Temperatures for Fog at Los Angeles International Airport". A supplement to TWA Technical Bulletin 62-2, Kansas City, Missouri, June 1964, Page 6.
- 5. Eichelberger, A. L., 'Forecasting the Catalina Eddy", NOAA Technical Memorandum NWSTM WR62, Salt Lake City, Utah. February 1971, Page 15.

TABLE 1. SYMBOLS USED ON CHARTS

BASIC SYMBOLS:

A

A solid triangle indicates <u>only one</u> occurrence of the event on that day or night followed by a change in category as shown by the affixed arrows defined below.

Х

A solid circle indicates more than one occurrence of the event on that day or night. Repetitions are shown by open circles. Arrows affixed to the symbols define changes.

Denotes only one occurrence of the event that day or night with no change in category.

CHART SYMBOLS	FOG* CHART	LOW STRATUS* CHART
χ 🌚 Ο	Began and ended as fog.	Began and ended as low stratus.
* * *	Lowered from low stratus and ended as fog.	Lowered from stratus* and ended as low stratus.
X V 8	Lowered to fog from low stratus and raised back up to low stratus.	Lowered from stratus and raised back up to stratus.
à à b	Began as fog and lifted up to low stratus	Began as low stratus and lifted up to stratus.
🎍 🏟 🖕	None -	Stratus lowers to low stratus and then to fog
* ?	None	Raised up from fog and then returns to fog
🌲 🌹 💡	None	Raised up from fog and ended as low stratus
À أ	None	Raised up from fog and continues up to stratus
🏘 🌻 🍳	None	Began as low stratus and lowers to fog.

*For definitions see page2,



FIGURE 1. AVERAGE NUMBER DAYS PER MONTH OF FOG (TOP) AND LOW STRATUS (BOTTOM) FOR LOS ANGELES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, 1952 - 62 AND 1963 - 71.



FIGURE 2. EXAMPLES OF DATA ENTRIES ON MONTHLY AND SEASONAL FOG AND STRATUS CHARTS (SEE TEXT FOR FURTHER EXPLANATION).



FIGURE 3



FIGURE 4



- 15 -





FIGURE 7



- 18 -



- 19 -





.











- 26 -

(1) A set of the se



N 53- 17



- 28 -





- 30 -

.



- 31 -



- 32 -

and the second secon





·

Ş



ţ





- 37 -







FIGURE 30



.



51

.-



Western Region Technical Memoranda: (Continued)

\$

.

No.	45/2	Precipitation Probabilities in the Western Region Associated with Spring 500-mb Map Types.
No.	45/3	Precipitation Probabilities in the Western Region Associated with Summer 500-mb Map Types.
No.	45/4	Precipitation Probabilities in the Western Region Associated with Fall 500-mb Map Types.
No.	46	Richard P. Augulis, January 1970. (PB-189435) Applications of the Net Radiometer to Short-Range Fog and Stratus Forecasting at Eugene,
No.	47	Statistical Analysis as a Flood Routing Tool. Robert J. C. Burnash, December 1969.
No.	48	Tsunami, Richard A. Augulis, February 1970, (PB-190157)
No.	49	Predicting Precipitation Type. Robert J. C. Burnash and Floyd E. Hug, March 1970. (PB-190962)
No.	50	Statistical Report on Aeroallergens (Pollens and Molds) Fort Huachuca, Arizona, 1969. Wayne S. Johnson, April 1970. (PB-191743)
No.	51	Western Region Sea State and Surf Forecaster's Manual. Gordon C. Shields and Gerald B. Burdwell, July 1970. (PB-193102)
No.	52	Sacramento Weather Radar Climatology. R. G. Pappas and C. M. Veliquette, July 1970. (PB-193347)
No.	53	Experimental Air Quality Forecasts in the Sacramento Valley. Norman S. Benes, August 1970. (PB-194128)
No.	54	A Refinement of the Vorticity Field to Delineate Areas of Significant Precipitation.
No.	55	Application of the SSARR Model to a Basin Without Discharge Record. Vail Schermerhorn and Donald W. Kuehl, August 1970. (PB+194394)
No.	56	Areal Coverage of Precipitation in Northwestern Utah. Philip Williams, Jr., and Werner
No.	57	Preliminary Report on Agricultural Field Burning vs. Atmosphere Visibility in the Willamette Valley of Oregon. Earl M. Bates and David O. Chilcote, September 1970. (PB-194710)
No.	58	Air Pollution by Jet Aircraft at Seattle-Tacoma Airport. Wallace R. Donaldson, October
		Applienting of D.S. Model Encount Description to Local Appl Encounting
NO.	59	Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016)
NO.	59	Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS
NO.	60 [.]	Application of P.E. Model Forecast Parameters to Local-Area Forecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120)
No. No.	60 [.]	Application of P.E. Model Forecast Parameters to Local-Area Forecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232)
No. No. No.	60 61 62	Application of P.E. Model Forecast Parameters to Local-Area Forecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223)
No No No No	60 61 62 63	Application of P.E. Model Forecast Parameters to Local-Area Forecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349)
No. No. No. No.	59 60 61 62 63 64	Application of P.E. Model Forecast Parameters to Local-Area Forecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971.
No. No. No. No. No.	59 60 61 62 63 64 65	Application of P.E. Model Forecast Parameters to Local-Area Forecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971. Climate of Sacramento, California. Wilbur E. Figgins, June 1971. (COM-71-00764)
NO NO NO NO NO NO	59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66	Application of P.E. Model Forecast Parameters to Local-Area Forecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971. Climate of Sacramento, California. Wilbur E. Figgins, June 1971. (COM-71-00764) A Preliminary Report on Correlation of ARTCC Radar Echoes and Precipitation. Wilbur K. Hall, June 1971. (COM-71-00829)
NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67	Application of P.E. Model Forecast Parameters to Local-Area Forecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971. Climate of Sacramento, California. Wilbur E. Figgins, June 1971. (COM-71-00764) A Preliminary Report on Correlation of ARTCC Radar Echoes and Precipitation. Wilbur K. Hall, June 1971. (COM-71-00829) Precipitation Detection Probabilities by Los Angeles ARTC Radars. Dennis E. Ronne, July 1971. (COM-71-00925)
NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	59 60 61 62 63 65 65 66 67 68 69	Application of P.E. Model Porecast Parameters to Local-Area Porecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971. Climate of Sacramento, California. Wilbur E. Figgins, June 1971. (COM-71-00764) A Preliminary Report on Correlation of ARTCC Radar Echoes and Precipitation. Wilbur K. Hall, June 1971. (COM-71-00829) Precipitation Detection Probabilities by Los Angeles ARTC Radars. Dennis E. Ronne, July 1971. (COM-71-00925) A Survey of Marine Weather Requirements. Herbert P. Benner, July 1971. (COM-71-00889) National Weather Service Support to Soaring Activities. Ellis Burton, August 1971. (COM-71-00956)
NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70	Apprication of P.E. Model Forecast Parameters to Locat-Area Forecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971. Climate of Sacramento, California. Wilbur E. Figgins, June 1971. (COM-71-00764) A Preliminary Report on Correlation of ARTCC Radar Echoes and Precipitation. Wilbur K. Hall, June 1971. (COM-71-00829) Precipitation Detection Probabilities by Los Angeles ARTC Radars. Dennis E. Ronne, July 1971. (COM-71-00925) A Survey of Marine Weather Requirements. Herbert P. Benner, July 1971. (COM-71-00889) National Weather Service Support to Soaring Activities. Ellis Burton, August 1971. (COM-71-00956) Predicting Inversion Depths and Temperature Influences in the Helena Valley. David E. Olsen, October 1971. (COM-71-01037)
NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71	Apprilation of P.E. Model Porecasi Parameters to Local-Area Porecasing. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Sait Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971. Climate of Sacramento, California. Wilbur E. Figgins, June 1971. (COM-71-00764) A Preliminary Report on Correlation of ARTCC Radar Echoes and Precipitation. Wilbur K. Hall, June 1971. (COM-71-00829) Precipitation Detection Probabilities by Los Angeles ARTC Radars. Dennis E. Ronne, July 1971. (COM-71-00825) A Survey of Marine Weather Requirements. Herbert P. Benner, July 1971. (COM-71-00889) National Weather Service Support to Soaring Activities. Ellis Burton, August 1971. (COM-71-00956) Predicting Inversion Depths and Temperature Influences in the Helena Valley. David E. Olsen, October 1971. (COM-71-01037) Western Region Synoptic Analysis-Problems and Methods. Philip Williams, Jr., February 1972. (COM-72-10433)
NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. NO. NO.	59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72	Application of P.E. Model Porecast Parameters to Local-Area Porecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971. Climate of Sacramento, California. Wilbur E. Figgins, June 1971. (COM-71-00764) A Preliminary Report on Correlation of ARTCC Radar Echoes and Precipitation. Wilbur K. Hail, June 1971. (COM-71-00829) Precipitation Detection Probabilities by Los Angeles ARTC Radars. Dennis E. Ronne, July 1971. (COM-71-00825) A Survey of Marine Weather Requirements. Herbert P. Benner, July 1971. (COM-71-00889) National Weather Service Support to Soaring Activities. Ellis Burton, August 1971. (COM-71-00956) Predicting Inversion Depths and Temperature Influences in the Helena Valley. David E. Olsen, October 1971. (COM-71-01037) Western Region Synoptic Analysis-Problems and Methods. Philip Williams, Jr., February 1972. (COM-72-10433) A Paradox Principle in the Prediction of Precipitation Type. Thomas J. Weitz, February 1972. (COM-72-10432)
NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73	Application of P.E. Model rolecast Parameters to Local-Area Porecasting. Leonard W. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO ₂ Concentrations at Sait Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00252) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971. Climate of Sacramento, California. Wilbur E. Figgins, June 1971. (COM-71-00764) A Preliminary Report on Correlation of ARTCC Radar Echoes and Precipitation. Wilbur K. Hail, June 1971. (COM-71-00829) Precipitation Detection Probabilities by Los Angeles ARTC Radars. Dennis E. Ronne, July 1971. (COM-71-00925) A Survey of Marine Weather Requirements. Herbert P. Benner, July 1971. (COM-71-00889) National Weather Service Support to Soaring Activities. Ellis Burton, August 1971. (COM-71-00956) Predicting Inversion Depths and Temperature Influences in the Helena Valley. David E. Olsen, October 1971. (COM-71-01037) Western Region Synoptic Analysis-Problems and Methods. Philip Williams, Jr., February 1972. (COM-72-10432) A Paradox Principle in the Prediction of Precipitation Type. Thomas J. Weitz, February 1972. (COM-72-10432) A Synoptic Climatology for Snowstorms in Northwestern Nevada. Bert L. Nelson, Paul M. Fransioli. and Clarence M. Sakamoto, February 1972. (COM-72-1038)
NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74	<pre>Application of P.E. Model Porecast parameters to Local-Area Porecasting. Leonard w. Snellman, October 1970. (COM-71-00016) NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS An Aid for Forecasting the Minimum Temperature at Medford, Oregon. Arthur W. Fritz, October 1970. (COM-71-00120) Relationship of Wind Velocity and Stability to SO₂ Concentrations at Salt Lake City, Utah. Werner J. Heck, January 1971. (COM-71-00232) Forecasting the Catalina Eddy. Arthur L. Eichelberger, February 1971. (COM-71-00223) 700-mb Warm Air Advection as a Forecasting Tool for Montana and Northern Idaho. Norris E. Woerner, February 1971. (COM-71-00349) Wind and Weather Regimes at Great Falls, Montana. Warren B. Price, March 1971. Climate of Sacramento, California. Wilbur E. Figgins, June 1971. (COM-71-00764) A Preliminary Report on Correlation of ARTCC Radar Echoes and Precipitation. Wilbur K. Hail, June 1971. (COM-71-00829) Precipitation Detection Probabilities by Los Angeles ARTC Radars. Dennis E. Ronne, July 1971. (COM-71-00925) A Survey of Marine Weather Requirements. Herbert P. Benner, July 1971. (COM-71-00889) National Weather Service Support to Soaring Activities. Ellis Burton, August 1971. (COM-71-00925) Predicting Inversion Depths and Temperature Influences in the Helena Valley. David E. Olsen, October 1971. (COM-71-01037) Western Region Synoptic Analysis-Problems and Methods. Philip Williams, Jr., February 1972. (COM-72-10432) A Synoptic Climatology for Snowstorms in Northwestern Nevada. Bert L. Nelson, Paul M. Fransioli, and Clarence M. Sakamoto, February 1972. (COM-72-1038) Thunderstorms and Hail Days Probabilities in Nevada. Clarence M. Sakamoto, April 1972. (COM-72-10452)</pre>